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December 2020
A Special Report by State Auditor Kathleen McGuiness
Dear fellow Delawareans,

The coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has changed our lives in ways we never imagined and created much economic uncertainty in its wake.

Government-imposed closures and restrictions necessary to prevent widespread community transmission of the virus have also wreaked havoc on our economy and will have a lasting impact on state finances. While the federal government provided more than a billion dollars in pandemic relief to Delaware, our state, like others, has been mostly on its own to develop mitigation strategies.

In July 2020, I led a multistate taskforce to develop a consistent tool for fiscal watchdogs to assess how each state has approached data collection, reporting, and monitoring of coronavirus cases, both individually and collectively. The intent was to provide a way for states to determine the quality of the data they use to make policy decisions, take health actions, and where to invest resources to control virus spread. The Auditor’s Office is currently working together with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHSS) to undertake this important engagement.

Since ensuring data quality is vital to successfully mitigating the health and economic impacts of the pandemic, I felt it necessary to find other ways to assess the performance of Delaware’s pandemic response. This special report provides a comprehensive review of Delaware’s data dashboard that tracks and analyses coronavirus case data. It compares Delaware’s dashboard to other states’ dashboards and against 15 indicators that a group led by former CDC Director, Dr. Thomas Frieden, deemed essential for success. The Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health and the American Public Health Association have also endorsed these indicators.

My report focuses on the state’s response in the early stages of the pandemic – from the first reported positive case in March through June 30, 2020. However, we acknowledge that Delaware has made tremendous improvements since this period. Although we conducted a statewide survey of the long-term care community and engaged with DHSS staff for this project, our research was mainly limited to data and materials available online.

State officials and health professionals rely on Delaware’s dashboard to make policy decisions. Our hope is that the findings and recommendations contained in this report help improve ours.

Thank you for the opportunity to serve you.

Sincerely,

Kathy McGuiness, RPh, CFE

401 FEDERAL STREET ● TOWNSEND BUILDING ● 3rd Floor ● DOVER, DE 19901

Main Office: 302-739-4241
There was no national plan to prepare states for responding to the pandemic. It’s a failure in governance and leadership from the federal government by all accounts. Delaware is in a unique situation, but its uniqueness should not be an excuse for not wanting to pull back the curtain to evaluate our state’s performance. The report is what it is – limited in precision and depth – because my office was directed to go to websites for information. I acknowledge that Delaware is in the midst of a health and fiscal emergency, but as the state’s fiscal watchdog it is my duty to ensure quality data drives decision-making and communications are transparent particularly when it involves the people’s money. This report includes areas my office identified for improvement. I’m hopeful the necessary controls will be put in place for better data and better decisions, both for state government and the public. I look forward to DHSS’s response to provide a fuller understanding and to grant us greater information access to perform our due diligence. Improvement comes only when we know what needs improving.
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The Office of the Auditor of Accounts Office (OAOA) prepared this special report to review Delaware’s public reporting of COVID-19 data. The objective was to provide a clear and unbiased assessment of the public health efforts carried out by the Delaware Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) in the early stages of the pandemic, March 11, 2020 through June 30, 2020. This includes when the state first made information about testing and cases publicly available on a data dashboard. We also periodically reviewed the data dashboard beyond this time period to identify and acknowledge improvements made to it, with our last review on October 15, 2020 before the writing of this report.

The OAOA completed a detailed evaluation of other states’ dashboards to determine if Delaware’s dashboard:

- Presented key essential data indicators;
- Provided performance and outcome measures;
- Represented an accurate assessment of the overall health and status of the community at large;
- Included information on data validation controls and oversight; and
- Provided usable and clearly presented data.

Additionally, the OAOA evaluated whether the dashboard followed best practices. Our evaluation found that Delaware’s data dashboard followed some best practices, but also fell short in other areas for the period ending June 30, 2020. For example, the dashboard contained all pertinent information related to cases of COVID-19 like illnesses; however, on testing provided only partial information and no information for congregate facilities.

We also found that the data reported during the pandemic was sometimes inconsistent, may have been incomplete, and a greater level of information was shared much later in the pandemic than shared in earlier stages. We identified opportunities for improvement related to providing more comprehensive data dashboard reporting; communicating the linkage between COVID-19 data and the decisions made in response to the pandemic; and providing more clear and concise preparedness information for the public.

By reviewing the observations and implementing the recommendations herein, we believe that Delaware’s COVID-19 dashboard would become an effective source of information used to control the spread of COVID-19 in Delaware going forward.

**Abbreviations**

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
CMS Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
COVID-19 Coronavirus Disease 2019  
DHSS (Delaware) Department of Health and Social Services  
DPH Division of Public Health  
FDA Food and Drug Administration  
FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency  
HHS (Federal) Department of Health and Human Services  
NCHS National Center for Health Statistics
NIH National Institutes of Health
NVSS National Vital Statistics System
OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PPE Personal Protective Equipment
RTSL Resolve to Save Lives
SARS Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
SARS-CoV-2 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, Coronavirus 2
WHO World Health Organization
BACKGROUND

What is Public Health?

Public health is the branch of medicine dealing with the science of protecting and improving the health of people and their communities. One aspect of public health focuses on detecting, preventing, and responding to infectious diseases, including but not limited to epidemics and pandemics. The Delaware Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) is the state agency responsible for improving the quality of life for Delaware citizens and for promoting health and well-being, fostering self-sufficiency, and protecting vulnerable populations. The Division of Public Health (DPH) focuses on putting the knowledge of the public health field into practice in Delaware. The Delaware Code Title 16 Chapter 5, titled: “Contagious Diseases Generally” lays out the framework within which DHSS/DPH and other relevant parties must perform their work related to infectious diseases. Delaware Code provides requirements of what diseases healthcare providers must report, how they must report them, and provides authority for isolation and quarantine of individuals by public health authorities at the state and local government levels.

What is COVID-19?

Pandemics have occurred intermittently over centuries. Although the timing cannot be predicted, history and science suggest that we will face more pandemics in the future. On February 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced through a press briefing, the official name for the disease that is responsible for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak, first identified in Wuhan China. The new name of this disease is coronavirus disease 2019, abbreviated as COVID-19. In COVID-19, ‘CO’ stands for ‘corona,’ ‘VI’ for ‘virus,’ and ‘D’ for disease. Formerly, this disease was referred to as “2019 novel coronavirus” or “2019-nCoV”. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), is highly contagious and has generated a worldwide pandemic.

---

What is the COVID-19 Timeline in the United States and Delaware?

COVID-19 has appeared and spread extremely fast, making its way to over 213 countries and territories since its December 2019 discovery in China\(^5\). The WHO declared COVID-19 a public health emergency of international concern on January 30, 2020 and elevated it to a pandemic on March 11, 2020\(^6\). The U.S. had its first confirmed cases of COVID-19 on January 21, 2020 in Washington State from a man who had returned from Wuhan, China\(^6\). The first instance of a person transmitting COVID-19 to another person while in the U.S. was reported in Chicago, Illinois, on January 30, 2020\(^7\). The early cases of COVID-19 in the U.S. were limited to individuals who had traveled from China and those they interacted closely with.\(^8\) On February 26, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) confirmed a case in California with no reported travel connection to China or exposure to another person.\(^9\)

On March 8, 2020, Delaware Governor John Carney launched a universal testing program, to increase COVID-19 testing for healthcare workers.

Delaware identified its first case on March 11, 2020 in New Castle County and on March 26, 2020 the first person in Delaware died from COVID-19\(^10\). These occurrences launched the subsequent actions and responses taken in Delaware to address the pandemic. The early response focused on reducing the spread of the virus to prevent our health system (e.g., hospitals) from becoming overwhelmed or collapsing through the implementation of unprecedented restrictions and the implementation of testing individuals with COVID-19 symptoms.

On March 12, 2020 Governor Carney declared a State of Emergency in Delaware and on the following day he directed a two-week closure of Delaware’s public and charter schools.\(^11\) The first three modifications to the State of Emergency declaration were issued on: March 16th, March 18th, and March 21st. In early March, daily updates on positive and negative COVID-19 cases started to be announced on Delaware’s “Delaware News” webpage. On March 22, 2020, Governor Carney issued the fourth and fifth modifications to his State of Emergency declaration, ordering Delawareans to stay home whenever possible and closing all non-essential businesses in the state to help stop community spread of COVID-19. On March 23, 2020 Delaware began operating standing health facility test sites where healthcare staff began testing individuals who were evaluated by a medical provider and had symptoms consistent with COVID-19.

West Virginia became the first state to require universal testing, through an executive order issued April 17, 2020. On April 19, 2020, DPH announced through the Delaware News webpage that on April 20, 2020 it would begin providing daily updates on COVID-19 statistics through its dashboard. “This change allowed the state to begin providing additional data on key demographic information, such as race/ethnicity for positive cases and deaths, as


\(^{8}\) Ibid

\(^{9}\) Ibid


well as age-adjusted incidence rates by zip code.” States including New York, Arizona, Texas, New Jersey, Delaware, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and South Dakota initiated plans to test residents and workers at long-term care facilities the week of May 11, 2020. In total, there have been 27 modifications to Delaware’s State of Emergency during the period from March 1, 2020 through October 31, 2020. As this pandemic has progressed, Delaware’s elected government officials and public health experts have continued to adjust and refine their virus mitigation approach as they have learned more about this disease and its treatment protocols.

What are Public Health and Social Measures?

Worldwide, the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in unprecedented public health and social measures from border restrictions, air travel restrictions, school closures, mandatory facemask use, social distancing requirements, and stay at home orders. Delaware instituted a variety of public health and social measures in different combinations of varying durations and at different time periods during the pandemic to limit the transmission of COVID-19. As more knowledge is obtained on the COVID-19 disease and transmission, elected government officials and public health experts are tasked with issuing informed and urgently needed recommendations for the easing of certain restrictions many of which are associated with significant social and economic costs. The availability of accurate and real-time data to inform decision-making is essential for COVID-19 infectious disease control and public trust.

What is a Data Dashboard?

A data dashboard is a reporting tool that visually monitors, analyzes, and reports key metrics and data points to track a specific process.\(^\text{13}\) Data dashboards take vast amounts of raw data and consolidate it in a way that enables the reader to interpret it for decision-making. For example, in order to track the progression of COVID-19 in Delaware, the state would have to obtain data from all hospitals and long-term care facilities within each of the three counties. Since this a large amount of data, the dashboard converts all the data into one format and compresses it to fit the end-user’s needs. From there, the dashboard displays the information in a way that allows the end-user to understand complex relationships in the data. This allows the state to track the number of cases, monitor the progression of COVID-19, and report important COVID-19 data to all Delawareans.

State Government and Data Transparency and Accountability

Government data is expected to be a reliable source of information for the public, as this data is the basis for government officials’ decisions on public health and social measures. State governments are here to serve the public truthfully, with integrity, and now more than ever must be transparent and accountable. Each state in the U.S. made great efforts to provide the public with timely and transparent COVID-19 data via their websites and data dashboards. Consequently, there have been many differences in the amount and types of data that each state presents. A July 2020 review of outbreak data by former CDC Director, Tom Frieden, revealed that “…no state discloses even half of what health experts consider essential indicators for managing the disease”.\(^\text{14}\)

These variances can lead to inaccuracies, misleading data, mistakes, and missed data warnings.

In July 2020, Resolve to Save Lives (RTSL), an initiative of the public health group Vital Strategies, conducted a review of how the dashboards of each state reported COVID-19 data. The group developed 15 best practice essential indicators (Appendix 1) that should appear in each state’s dashboard. The group furthered their review by stating whether states had exact, incomplete, or no information within their dashboards. They determined that each of these indicators is vital for monitoring COVID-19 in each state. Consequently, the OAAO chose this benchmark as a basis for reviewing Delaware’s COVID-19 Dashboard. The Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health and the American Public Health Association have also endorsed these indicators.

Data Dashboard and Website

Due to the unexpected rise of the COVID-19 virus, DHSS/DPH began capturing, tracking, and reporting COVID-19 data metrics/indicators to the public, beginning in March 2020. Delaware responded quickly with a basic informational COVID-19 website (de.gov/coronavirus.delaware.gov) until they were able to customize a more comprehensive COVID-19 data dashboard. The initial website provided confirmed COVID-19 cases by zip code.

\(^{13}\) “What is a data dashboard?” Klipfolio, https://www.klipfolio.com/resources/articles/what-is-data-dashboard#:~:text=A%20data%20dashboard%20is%20a%20reporting%20tool%20that%20visually%20monitors%2C%20analyzes%2C%20and%20reports%20key%20metrics%20and%20data%20points%20to\n
In April 2020, DPH rolled out their COVID-19 data dashboard on the “My Healthy Community” webpage (https://myhealthycommunity.dhss.delaware.gov/locations/state). Per an interview with DHSS, Delaware expanded its existing environmental public health tracking network to include the COVID-19 data and provide linkage to the DHSS website. This initiative required resources to customize and build the infrastructure for the COVID-19 data dashboard and ensure that the website was HIPPA compliant. Since then the state has been updating and communicating information to the public on the progression of the outbreak and has included information regarding testing, state reopening plans, community resources, and guidance for medical providers and other facilities on the DPH website (de.gov/coronavirus). Data available for download includes information from March 11, 2020 to present and is updated every seven days. Sources of this information include data from the American Communities 5-year Survey; Delaware Health Information Network (DHIN), and DHSS.

Due to its ability to capture and analyze granular information, the dashboard has become a vital resource to help understand the virus spread, inform the public, and brief policymakers in order to guide responses to the pandemic. The timing of Delaware’s creation and implementation of the data dashboard is equivalent to surrounding states. Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Ohio each implemented the use of a COVID-19 data dashboard in March.

Since COVID-19 was a new unknown disease, there were both initial misconceptions and knowledge gained over time as we learned more about the disease. This may have been a factor in DHSS/DPH determining what data was to be made public; when it should be delivered; and how it should be displayed to give context and insight for the public. Delaware’s COVID-19 Dashboard is still a work in progress. While it contains basic elements needed to track the spread of the disease, it still lacks information for specific essential indicators. Figure 1 shows the progression of the dashboard over three separate periods of time to illustrate how it has evolved.

---

**Delaware’s COVID-19 Dashboard is still a work in progress. While it contains basic elements needed to track the spread of the disease, it still lacks information for specific essential indicators.**

---

### Figure 1: Dashboard Sections Added Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As of March 31, 2020</th>
<th>As of June 30, 2020</th>
<th>As of October 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>General Overview</td>
<td>General Overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Mitigation</td>
<td>Contact Tracing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **General Overview** section displays key public health information. As of June 30, 2020, this information covered:

- Cumulative number of positive cases;
- Positive cases by county;
- Current hospitalizations;
- Recoveries;
- Deaths;
- School reopening criteria;
- Healthcare system status; and
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) inventory statuses.

This data is explained in detail in other sections of Delaware’s COVID-19 Data Dashboard and stratified for analysis purposes. To better understand the amount of positive cases, the General Overview section includes an interactive chart that separates the state by zip code. Once the end-user hovers over a certain area, the graph displays the amount of positive cases per 10,000 people in that given area. Current hospitalizations, positive cases, and syndromic surveillance are also displayed in graph form. The end-user has the option to view trends within this information for 14, 30, and 90-day charts.

The Testing section provides the total amount of persons tested and is stratified by county and race/ethnicity. While brief, the data includes rates and counts allowing for geographic and demographic comparisons.

The Cases section includes positive cases within the state and is stratified by county, age, sex, and race/ethnicity. It is further broken down into probable vs. confirmed cases and those cases that belong to residents of long-term care facilities. This section also contains a map of the state that tracks the amount of new positive cases per 10,000 people. When the end-user clicks on the graph, it becomes shaded with shade variations based on the total amount of cases. It then displays the progression of positive cases from June 18, 2020 to present.

The Outcome section of the dashboard quantifies the total amount of deaths and the total amount of recoveries. It is stratified in the same manner as the Cases section, but also includes recent deaths that are updated every seven days.

Lastly, the Contact Tracing section (formerly the Mitigation section), provided in a separate link, displays the work that public health staff has done with individuals who have tested positive. This includes information that is used to understand how positive individuals recall any individuals they were in contact with during the timeframe they may have been infectious. Contacts are identified by those who have been reached and those without the necessary contact information to be reached. A mitigation strategies timeline has also been included to review steps taken by Delaware to control the spread of COVID-19.
National View of Missing Essential Indicators

The U.S. does not have common national data standards for COVID-19. As a result, each state’s public reporting of data points (data dashboard) on the virus is different, which limits the ability to consistently compare COVID-19 reporting across state borders. The July 2020 Resolve to Save Lives (RSTL) “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report contained a review of the dashboards for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. RSTL used it to assess how many of the benchmark 15 essential indicators states were reporting. The RSTL found:

- Only 2% off the exact essential indicators were reported;
- 38% of indicators were reported, but had data limitations or were not stratified adequately; and
- 60% of the indicators were not reported.

The 15 essential indicators represent 780 critical pieces of information that should be stratified by important variables such as age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Stratifying the large amount of data into smaller pieces ensures that patterns and trends can be recognized. These indicators include:

- Cases,
- Testing,
- Test time,
- Percent of positive tests,
- Syndromic surveillance,
- Hospitalization,
- Deaths,
- Contact Tracing,
- Masks,
- Healthcare workers, and
- Congregate facilities.

The majority of the data missing from state dashboards was related to testing and contact tracing. Out of the 52 dashboards, not a single state reported polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test turnaround time. Of the 156 critical pieces of information on contact tracing programs, only three states (or 2%) are reported in some way and zero in the optimal way needed.

State of Delaware View of Partial and Missing Essential Indicators

Overall, we concluded that as of July 2, 2020, Delaware did not have a comprehensive data dashboard that included all best practice data metrics/indicators and associated goals/thresholds required for full transparency to the public.

According to the “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States,” Delaware’s dashboard ranked 14th with an assigned a score of 23% in comparison to other states for the amount and quality of COVID-19 tracking data presented in
the dashboard. The highest and lowest scores assigned by the benchmark group were to Minnesota and Kentucky that scored 43% and 3% respectively.

The same report also states, “Currently only 18% of states report data on Influenza-like illness (ILI) as part of their COVID-19 dashboard, and only 37% report data on COVID-like illness (CLI). Some states report on ILI in a separate location, since this information was tracked before the COVID-19 pandemic.” The report also revealed that Delaware’s dashboard contained all pertinent information for Syndromic Surveillance (Indicator 5). Delaware was one of the few states that provided information on syndromic surveillance indicators. Since COVID-19 symptoms are similar to that of the flu, tracking this information helps predict the transmission of the disease.

While Delaware’s dashboard contains the one indicator noted above, there are notable deviations when it comes to the other indicators. Of the fifteen best practice essential indicators that the group recommended to be immediately reported, Delaware included partial data and/or unstratified data for five of the indicators, and nine of the indicators were missing entirely from Delaware’s data dashboard.

The five (5) indicators with partial information are:

1. New confirmed and probable cases and per capita rates by date with 7-day moving average;
2. New screening (e.g. antigen) and diagnostic (e.g. PCR) testing per capita rates by date, with threshold, with 7-day moving average;
3. Percentage of screening (e.g. antigen) and diagnostic (e.g. PCR) tests positive by date, with threshold, with 7-day moving average;
4. COVID-19 daily hospitalization per capita rates and 7-day moving average; and
5. New COVID-19 confirmed and probable deaths and per capita rates with 7-day moving average

The nine (9) missing indicators are:

1. Percentage of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case, stratified by whether part of known outbreak or not, with threshold
2. Percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients
3. List of long-term care and other congregate facilities, and essential workplace outbreaks with COVID-19 cases and deaths in residents and staff
4. Diagnostic test turnaround time (i.e. specimen collection to test report), by week
5. Time from specimen collection to isolation of cases, by week
6. Percentage of cases interviewed for contact elicitation within 48 hours of case specimen collection, including all people with positive tests who reside in the jurisdiction, by week
7. Percentage of new cases from among quarantined contacts, by week
8. New infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contracted outside of the workplace, by week

---

Of the 15 best practice essential indicators recommended to be immediately reported, five (5) include partial data and nine (9) were missing completely from Delaware’s data dashboard.

---

9. Percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings, based on direct observation or security camera analysis, by a standard, consistent method, by week

While these indicators were presented with partial information as of June 2020, a current review of Delaware’s dashboard as of October 2020 reveals that the state has updated the information within these indicators. For example, new confirmed and probable cases and per capita rates by date with 7-day moving average was not available on the Delaware dashboard in June.

Because of the disproportionate demographic impact of COVID-19, “information such as age, sex, race, and ethnicity is required to understand disease spread and adequately target interventions to control it.”16 These indicators should be reported in accordance with best practices in order to identify patterns in the spread of the disease. The availability of weekly data versus cumulative data is more informative of the current situation and better directs response actions.

Data Dashboard Best Practices

According to the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report, “data dashboards should follow a number of best practices, including clearly identifying the intended audience, prioritizing key measures, having a clear organization and layout, and presenting information to inform on health equity, updating information daily, and clearly labeling data and graphics. Other best practices include using rates rather than counts, which allows for geographic and demographic comparisons, and smoothing or averaging data over time to better accounts for variability in reporting or low numbers.”

Our review of Delaware’s dashboard found that it evolved during the pandemic from March to June to the present and began including some of these best practices (e.g., labeling data and graphics, providing data download capability, and presenting information on long-term care facilities). However, we also found that the dashboard did not address the following:

- **Intended Audience:** The data dashboard did not clearly identify the intended audience (e.g. healthcare workers, parents with children in school, elderly in long-term care facilities).
- **Navigation and Presentation:** The organization, layout, and usability could be improved now that much more extensive data has been added so that it is easier for the public to maneuver and locate information and for them to understand the context of the data provided.
- **Functionality:** The website did not include website chat functionality to help improve public engagement.
- **Websites Linked:** The website did not include links to other key state and county websites in a clear and conspicuous way.

Communicating and Monitoring COVID-19 in Delaware: Data Use, Quality, Decisions, Methodology, and Rationale

Delaware had opportunities to improve communications and to provide better information on the dashboard/website to: enhance understanding of the virus status as it progressed; address the information needs of all Delawareans; and support greater transparency and understanding of data by the public to help mitigate the transmission and risk of exposure.

---

Delaware did not make the following information available or present it in a format that would help support the best public consumption and framework for the data.

- Delaware did not have a color-coded risk alert system to support decision-making and communicate with the public to help support the necessary behavior change. Other states, cities, and counties have adopted a color-coded system which included specific information used to make decisions. These states include Minnesota\textsuperscript{17}, Ohio\textsuperscript{18}, Utah, Texas, and South Carolina.

- Delaware did not provide a summary of its designated data metrics/indicators that would explain each data point. It was unclear if there were goals or specific thresholds assigned to metrics/indicators at different points during the pandemic. These should be clearly documented and correlated to a risk alert system as a tool to better communicate to the public.

- Delaware did not define each metric/indicator as either leading (syndromic) or lagging (hospitalizations and deaths).

- Delaware did not link decisions and actions (restrictions) to the data metrics/indicators/science-based information.

- Delaware did not provide on their COVID-19 website a written report to present the data, insights and trends to better assist the public’s understanding or other helpful resources like other states (i.e., data term definition document, data transparency COVID-19 checklist, unified response scoreboard, etc.).

- Delaware did provide more guidance as the pandemic progressed, but there were opportunities to provide information earlier in the pandemic, such as in July 2020.

**Internal Controls**

Delaware did not disclose information on its internal controls for COVID-19 data on its website. Internal control standards for information and communication specify that government agencies should obtain and maintain quality data from reliable sources and communicate quality information to external stakeholders, such as the public. Delaware did not provide the needed transparency to meet these standards, as follows:\textsuperscript{19}

- Delaware did not provide any information on what it was doing to support data governance and data integrity for publicly reported data metrics, including internal control activities, monitoring, and oversight efforts over the data lifecycle (i.e., collect/create, process, review, report, and retention/retrieval).

- Delaware did not disclose evidence supporting its decisions or policies implemented to mitigate the pandemic, such as its reasoning, rationale or methodology and authorized decision-makers.


### Dashboard Observations as of June 30, 2020

| Indicator 1: New confirmed and probable cases and per capita rates by date with 7-day moving average. | 1. The dashboard did not contain per capita rates by date with a 7-day moving average.  
2. Delaware has not provided information to help the public understand what the case data says about the pandemic, the virus progression, and response. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 2: Percent of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case by date stratified, with threshold.</td>
<td>Information was not provided in the dashboard for this indicator. A review of the dashboard to date shows that the metric exists, but is not stratified, with threshold.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Indicator 3: New screening and diagnostic testing per-capita rates by date, with threshold, and with 7-day moving average. | 1. The dashboard contained testing information; however, it did not contain new screening and diagnostic testing per-capita rates by date, with threshold, and with 7-day moving average.  
2. To date, the dashboard has included testing results for positive and negative cases but does not detail the test type administered. Further, it has not relayed any information on test turnaround, isolation after testing, or cases interviewed for contact elicitation. |
| Indicator 4: Percent of screening and diagnostic tests positive by date, with threshold, and 7-day moving average. | The dashboard contained testing information but excluded the percent of screening and diagnostic tests positive by date, with threshold, and 7-day moving average. |
| Indicator 6: COVID hospitalization per-capita rates by date, with 7-day moving average. | 1. The dashboard does not report on several of the other recommended hospitalization-related metrics including 1) COVID-19 hospitalizations per-capita rates by date and 7-day moving average; 2) percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients by date and 3) new infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contracted outside of the workplace by week.  
2. The dashboard does not include a percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed patients by date. |
| Indicator 7: Percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients by date. | The dashboard reports ICU bed availability as “Stable,” “Occupied,” and “Critical”. It does not contain the percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients by date. |
| Indicator 8: List of long-term care and other congregate facility outbreaks with COVID-19 cases and deaths in residents and staff. | 1. The dashboard quantifies the Total Number of Positive Cases in long-term care facilities but does not break it out into patient and staff or stratify it.  
2. The dashboard does not include a list of facilities with outbreaks along with the number of deaths each facility has in total. Therefore, the public does not know if cases were specific to a limited number of facilities; if staff cases or deaths were from multiple disciplines; if there were any visitor cases or deaths; or what those percentages are. The public also does not know if the deaths were of those over 70 or 80 years old and if those with a case or death had underlying conditions or co-morbidities and were transferred to a hospital for acute care.  
3. A review of Delaware’s COVID-19 website shows that there is no specific guidance for prisons on testing, reporting, data collection, handling positive cases. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator 8: (continued)</th>
<th>cases, or contact tracing. Proper mitigation techniques would require guidance that covers all of Delaware’s population including prison facilities. 4. The dashboard does not contain any information regarding prisons.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 9</strong>: New COVID-19 confirmed and probable deaths by date and per capita rates with a 7-day moving average.</td>
<td>The dashboard contained a count of confirmed and probable deaths, but it excluded dates and per capita rates with a 7-day moving average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 10</strong>: Diagnostic test turnaround time by week.</td>
<td>No information was provided in the dashboard for this indicator. A review of the dashboard to date shows that this indicator has not been added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 11</strong>: Time from specimen collection to isolation of cases by week.</td>
<td>No information was provided in the dashboard for this indicator. A review of the dashboard to date shows that this indicator has not been added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 12</strong>: Percentage of cases interviewed for contact elicitation within 48 hours of case specimen collection by week.</td>
<td>No information was provided in the dashboard for this indicator. Delaware can improve their data metric reporting for the contact tracing program to include comprehensive information on contact tracing efforts, including the inclusion of the percentage of cases interviewed for contact elicitation within 48 hours of case specimen collection by week or daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 13</strong>: Percentage of new cases from among quarantined contacts.</td>
<td>No information was provided in the dashboard for this indicator. A review of the dashboard to date shows that this indicator has not been added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 14</strong>: New infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contracted outside of the workplace by week.</td>
<td>No information was provided in the dashboard for this indicator. A review of the dashboard to date shows that this indicator has not been added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 15</strong>: Percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings based on direct observation or security camera analysis by a standard, consistent with method by week</td>
<td>1. Per the RTSL report, no state routinely reported on observed facial mask wearing rates, including Delaware. 2. The dashboard details the availability of face shields, N95 masks, and surgical masks, but does not reflect any information regarding the public wearing facial masks. 3. The dashboard does not report percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings (e.g., mass transit, and shopping), based on direct observation or security camera analysis by a standard, consistent method by week.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chart 1: Delaware vs. Top 10 Indicator Reporting States as of June 2020

Chart 2: Delaware vs. Regional States as of June 2020

*Delaware was ranked 14th nationally among states for indicator reporting.

---


Prevent Epidemics, preventepidemics.org/covid19/resources/indicators/.
Data on COVID-19 testing is needed to assess and improve our response to the virus. According to the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report, the majority of data missing from state dashboards was related to testing.

Since the start of the pandemic, testing has been criticized due to supply shortages, lab capacity and turnaround, and for its reliability and accuracy. These issues interfered with states’ abilities to contain the disease.

Throughout this pandemic, the goal for Delaware like other states has been to increase the number of tests conducted and the testing supply capacity. Delaware has continually updated its testing plan as the pandemic progressed in line with this goal.

The OAOA reviewed the Delaware COVID-19 website and data dashboard to determine the extent of information on the testing indicators including whether that information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present. As of March 31, 2020, the state reported positive and negative testing results but not what type of testing was administered. As of the June 30, 2020 dashboard, Delaware reported positive and negative test results, total persons tested, testing by race, ethnicity and county, and rate of persons tested by race, ethnicity, and county. As of October 15, 2020, Delaware reported positive and negative test results; total persons tested; total tests administered; testing by race, ethnicity and county; and rate of persons tested by race, ethnicity, and county. Delaware has not yet reported any information on test turnaround or isolation after testing.

Delaware’s DHSS22 coordinated with Delaware Healthcare Association, (DPH), and each of the healthcare systems in the state to create a plan that streamlines the testing process and ensures the safety of patients and

---

facility workers. Through this plan, Delaware health systems operated seven standing health facility test sites where healthcare staff tested individuals who had been evaluated by a medical provider and had symptoms consistent with COVID-19. On April 22, 2020, Governor Carney announced an expansion of testing in Sussex County to include a partnership with local employers to provide community testing sites. These sites offered free rapid testing for workers and their families of the poultry industry. On May 5, 2020, DPH created a plan to test Delaware’s most vulnerable population for COVID-19. Long-term care facilities were to be provided tests, testing supplies, and training for the testing program. A significant expansion to the original plan was made on May 8, 2020 to increase the number of tests administered to 80,000 people per month. The state purchased 200,000 saliva-based tests from Curative, a Los Angeles-based testing company to increase testing capacity and provide easy access to testing.

---


Indicator 1 - Cases

Case counts are the earliest indicator of virus progression. There are different types of cases in reported case counts, including probable, not yet lab confirmed, confirmed, and recovered, as well as variations in how reported cases are assigned a date (from date of specimen collection, which is preferred to date of illness onset, for the date reported).

Delaware needs to provide information to help the public understand what the case data can and cannot tell them about the pandemic and its progression and the mitigation efforts in Delaware.

Per the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report, case reporting was inconsistent across state dashboards. The epidemic curve is considered the best graphic illustration of disease progression per the report. Some states, required a user to download a separate file to see information, while others showed only cumulative cases by date, requiring users to calculate new cases themselves. The report indicated at a minimum that a state should report new and confirmed cases and per-capita rates by date with a 7-day moving average and include demographic stratification: age, sex, race, ethnicity, and zip code as well as community outbreaks to understand how COVID-19 disease has spread so that interventions can be adequately targeted.

Congregate facility-specific data is also important for understanding the community risk and the congregate facilities risk. It is recommended that all states report a list of long-term care and other congregate facilities and essential workplaces cases. Other recommended information was reporting of the percentage of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case, stratified by whether part of known outbreak or not, with threshold. Further, the data should be reported weekly, not just cumulatively, so that recent patterns can be identified. The report suggests a target of decreasing cases over 14 days or at a low level and >80% for linked cases as good metric to use.

The epidemic curve is considered the best graphic illustration of disease progression per the report.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Cases Indicator</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New, confirmed, and probable cases and per capita rates by date with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Recommendation was a 7-day moving average. Delaware elected a 5-day moving average

---

In Delaware, positive cases peaked in May 2020 at 9,721. As of October 15, 2020, there were 22,738 positive cases. However, the number of cases is only meaningful when reviewed and interpreted against how much testing is occurring. We did not see any specific guidance on the Delaware website specific to the topic of cases.

**Chart 3: Cumulative Number of Positive Cases**

As of March 31, 2020, Delaware reported case information was limited to announcements of positive and negative test results in the Delaware.gov website newsroom section. The June 30, 2020 dashboard was expanded to include new positive cases; cumulative number of positive cases; confirmed and probable cases; positive cases in comparable locations (by county); and a demographic breakdown of cases. As of the October 15, 2020, Delaware is now reporting significantly more case data on the data dashboard than it did in the early stages of the pandemic. Delaware now includes the following on the data dashboard:

- Total positive cases,
- Cases per capita,
- Confirmed cases,
- Probable cases,
- Residents of long-term care facilities cases,
- Cases by county,
- Positive case trends,
- Cases by visited venues,
- Cases by population by age group,
- Rate of cases by age, and
- Rate of cases by age and county,
- Rate of cases by sex, rate of cases by sex and county,
- Rate of cases by race/ethnicity, and
- Rate of case by race/ethnicity and county.

---

Incompleteness of Case Data

Accuracy of case data has also been an issue across the country. Many states are seeing case data being temporarily inflated or understated due to technical issues. For example, Florida recently dropped its partnership with Quest Diagnostics when the company issued a backlog of approximately 75,000 tests.\(^{28}\) Backlogging can temporarily inflate the amount of cases in a specific time period, thus rendering the reliability of the data inaccurate for reopening decisions.

Technical glitches have also reached states on the West Coast. California saw significant issues with their data collection systems that left approximately 250,000-300,000 test results unprocessed.\(^{29}\) California Health and Human Services Secretary Dr. Mark Ghaly stated that the glitches could be attributed to the high volume of COVID-19 case data exceeding the limits of the state’s data collection system.\(^{30}\) Because of this mishap, health officials say that their statistics, case rates and percent increase estimates have been impacted negatively.

On September 6, 2020, the accuracy of Delaware’s case data was questioned in a September 6, 2020 Delaware State News article:

> “According to data from the Division of Public Health, there have been 18,043, an increase of 151 from the day before. However, DPH cautioned those cases did not all originate this weekend. ‘The Division of Public Health identified a technical issue that caused approximately 175-200 positive cases to be omitted from the state’s cumulative positive case count,’ the agency said. ‘All of these cases were processed through the state’s contact tracing program; however, they were not captured in the state’s statistical reporting here. The technical issue was resolved, and as DPH works to add these cases to the state’s tallies, you may notice an increase in the cumulative number of positive cases displayed over the coming days.’”\(^{31}\)

Delawareans rely on accurate case data to track the spread of COVID-19 and any future possible technical glitch occurrences could affect pandemic planning and decision making. DHSS did confirm with OAOA that quality control check verifications and other reviews are in place for all data elements to help prevent data errors or delays in public reporting.


When no effective medication or vaccine exists during a pandemic, the timely isolation of positive cases and individuals in contact with those who test positive is imperative to the control of an outbreak. Data collected from contact tracing programs illustrates the effectiveness of disease control efforts. The information from these programs should be readily available to the public to hold government accountable for their effectiveness or lack thereof.

Case investigation and contact tracing are public health tools/control measures employed by health departments to combat infectious diseases and have been used for decades. Case investigation is the identification and investigation of patients with confirmed and probable diagnoses of COVID-19. Contact tracing is the subsequent identification, monitoring, and support of patient contacts who have been exposed to, and possibly infected with, the virus. Prompt identification, voluntary quarantine, and monitoring of these COVID-19 contacts can effectively break the chain of disease transmission and prevent further spread of the virus in the community (decrease transmission). Case investigation and contact tracing are most effective when part of a multifaceted response to an outbreak per the CDC.32

Tracing the origin of exposure is important to both the public and the government because infections from unknown sources demonstrate previously undetected transmission within a community. This signals a higher risk to the community than if the source is known. Contact tracing therefore relies upon accurate, reliable, and quick identification of individuals with the disease, followed by accurate, reliable, and quick sharing of that information with the caseworkers, who will conduct contact tracing. The CDC provides guidance on Case Investigation and Contact Tracing.33 The CDC recommends prioritization be based on vulnerability, congregate settings/workplaces, and healthcare facilities, including long-term care facilities, and confined spaces (e.g., prisons). Further, the CDC indicates that broad community engagement is needed to foster an understanding of local case investigations and contact tracing efforts within the community.

According to the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report, only eight states reported data on the source of exposure for positive cases. Delaware was not one of them. Further, no state gives data on the percentage of cases arising from individuals quarantined. This information is critical in assessing the effectiveness of a state’s response.

OAOA reviewed the state’s COVID-19 website and data dashboard to determine if essential indicators for contact tracing were included and if and how the state modified its contact tracing apparatus to address the challenges posed by the pandemic during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present. Our review included searching for guidance provided by DPH in this area. We found Delaware did not initially have a specific area for contract tracing; did not include source of exposure for positive cases; or information related to quarantined Delawareans. We noted that DPH did implement formal contract tracing control measures on May 12, 2020.34

Governor John Carney announced on May 12, 2020 that Delaware and NORC (National Opinion Research Center) at the University of Chicago would work together to build Delaware’s statewide contact tracing program,

---

33 Ibid
adding as many as 200 contact tracers. In the interim, members of the Delaware National Guard were trained and used to supplement the work being done by DPH. We understand that DPH used both telephone and field contact tracers and that DPH employees started going out into the community in June 2020 for those without a phone number.

We observed that as of August 11, 2020, the data dashboard included a contact tracing area. However, as of the date of this report, the state was not including the weekly percentage of cases interviewed for contact elicitation within 48 hours of case specimen collection. Delaware should take steps to provide comprehensive contact tracing data to the public. When many cases are originating from unknown sources, the risk in the community is much higher than when known outbreaks are the primary source of new cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Contact Tracing Indicators</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case, stratified by whether part of known outbreak or not, with threshold</td>
<td>3/15 3/30 4/15 4/30 5/15 5/30 6/15 6/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of positive cases interviewed for contact tracing within 48 hours of specimen collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of new cases from among quarantined contacts by week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table with color-coded indicators: Implemented, Partially Implemented, Not Implemented]
On September 15, 2020, Delaware launched “COVID Alert DE,” a mobile app that helps track COVID-19 spread in Delaware. Created in partnership with software developer NearForm, COVID Alert DE allows “for interoperability and exposure notifications across state lines in states that also have exposure notification apps and use the same Bluetooth technology developed by Apple and Google.” Once the app has been downloaded it uses Bluetooth to recognize other users that have the app. It then sends the end-user exposure notifications if they are in proximity of another user that is COVID positive. Users who are positive or come into proximity of a positive user may receive outreach from the DPH’s contact tracing team.

There have been numerous articles and discussions on congregate facilities and the impact the pandemic has had on them. For example, it was reported by news station WDAM7 on September 24, 2020 that one Louisiana nursing home experienced the loss of nearly the same number of residents to COVID-19 as it has beds. Additionally, the CMS reported more than five times as many COVID-19 deaths as the state has in one Indiana nursing home. Delaware has also had several outbreaks in congregate facilities, including long-term care facilities (e.g., nursing homes and assisted living facilities, etc.), poultry and other manufacturing businesses, and the state’s corrections system. Delaware has unfortunately had some spikes of deaths in some facilities, which is why comprehensive, complete, accurate, and transparent data and guidance is crucial during this pandemic.

**Long-term Care Facility Guidelines (Guidance)**

Infections among residents of the congregate facilities, especially long-term care facilities, have always been a serious concern. The population served by these facilities is older and more likely to have a preexisting condition

---


making them highly susceptible to COVID-19 outbreaks. Due to the population’s vulnerability, it is critical that proper guidance for these facilities exists, be widely communicated, and be put into place to reduce the spread of COVID-19 to residents and staff.

The OAOA reviewed the COVID-19 website for specific guidance to these facilities on testing of COVID-19, monitoring of data, and the management of COVID-19 positive residents and staff. DPH did communicate guidelines and/or guidance to long-term care facilities during the pandemic, including updates as the experts learned more about this disease and as other conditions were changing (e.g., hotspots and death spikes). As the pandemic progressed, DPH continued to add additional guidance to their COVID-19 website, as a resource for the long-term care facilities. Per a discussion with DHSS, they have not removed any of the guidance they have provided, since the start of the pandemic to ensure the greatest level of transparency.

On April 15, 2020, Governor Carney issued an 11th amendment to his State of Emergency requiring stricter guidelines to prevent COVID-19 infections in long-term care facilities. This amendment required that DPH evaluate guidance daily to ensure compliance with current guidance and updates to policies, procedures, and protocols as appropriate.

On May 5, 2020 Governor Carney and DPH announced a plan to test all residents and staff of Delaware long-term care facilities. Long-term care facilities were offered nasopharyngeal, oropharyngeal, anterior nares test kits, and specimen processing at DPH’s laboratory, for their residents. To use these resources, facilities had to develop a monthly testing plan, abide by that schedule, and fulfill specimen delivery. On July 8, 2020, DPH provided guidance to long-term care facilities through its website on monthly on-site testing of residents within these facilities.37 DPH also required weekly mandatory testing for all employees, contactors, and volunteers. Any staff that tested positive would be exempt from work and proper exposure protocols would be implemented. Through this plan, DPH has provided facilities with tests, testing supplies, staff training, and support for the universal testing program. This plan also established recommendations to protect residents and staff based on results, including transmission-based precautions, isolation, and patient and staff management strategies. DPH has taken vital steps in protecting residents of long-term care and certain other congregate facilities. Through testing of residents and staff, daily monitoring of COVID-19 data, and adherence to state and federal guidance, long-term care facilities have been working to slow the spread of COVID-19 in the community settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Congregate Facilities Indicator</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To the extent legally permissible, list outbreaks in long-term care and other congregate facilities; Other examples are homeless shelters, correctional facilities, and essential workplaces</td>
<td>3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implemented</td>
<td>Partially Implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37 Note: Guidance included measures to ensure early recognition of infections; mandatory testing of staff to identify and exclude symptomatic staff members; management of staff and residents with suspected COVID-19 exposure, as well as guidance on which tests should be administered and how to track test results.
Long-term Care Facility Data

The OAOA reviewed the Delaware COVID-19 website and data dashboard to determine the extent of information on congregate facility indicators (e.g., long-term care facilities), including whether that information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present.

As of March 31, 2020, the state did not report any long-term care facility data on its dashboard. As of the June 30, 2020 dashboard, Delaware’s dashboard quantifies the Total Number of Positive Cases in long-term care facilities. As of October 15, 2020, Delaware reported positive cases in long-term care facilities, deaths in long-term care facilities; however, that information is not broken out into patient and staff, nor is it stratified. Also, the dashboard has not included a list of facilities with outbreaks along with the number of deaths each facility has in total.

Therefore, the public does not know if cases were specific to a limited number of facilities, if staff cases or deaths were from multiple disciplines, if there were any visitor cases or deaths, or what those percentages are. The public also does not know if the deaths were of those over 70 or 80 years old and if those with a case or death had underlying conditions or comorbidities such as hypertension, cardiac disease, renal disease, diabetes, or a pulmonary disease and were transferred to a hospital for acute care.

The OAOA sent a survey in September 2020 to Delaware long-term care facilities. The survey found that most facility representatives were satisfied with COVID-19 testing and data reporting guidance and processes provided by the state. However, differences in responses were noted on testing information guidance that the state requested facilities to include when collecting and reporting test results. For example, while some facilities reported that they were instructed to include only the patient’s symptoms, others stated they were told to include age and sex of the individual tested, and still others stated they were asked to include race and ethnicity in their reported data collection. This inconsistency makes it difficult to accurately and adequately report the progress of COVID-19 in these facilities. Without the necessary information to study the progress of the disease, it is difficult to identify trends in its progress and develop strategies to combat virus spread.

Other Congregation Facilities Public Data Reporting

The OAOA reviewed the Delaware COVID-19 website and data dashboard to determine the extent of information on congregate facility indicators (correctional facilities), including whether that information changed or evolved during the period from March 31 to June 30 to present. As of March 31, 2020, June 30, 2020, and October 15, 2020 the Delaware dashboard and website showed a lack of data on correctional facilities as well as other congregate facilities (such as the manufacturing facilities), which have had spikes in cases in Sussex County, Delaware. This calls into question whether the number of positive cases has been understated or if the public information is as transparent as it could be. If prison data was included in the dashboard, it should be separate and stratified for tracing purposes.

This included whether the numbers were preliminary or final, what data validation occurs to prevent over or undercounting, and any audits performed by DHSS/DPH.
The number of individuals admitted to Delaware hospitals with COVID-19 is an indicator of the burden of illness in the community. Overall, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) occupancy shows how much ICU space is available for new COVID-19 patients as well as others needing care (e.g., heart attacks, car accidents, etc.).

The July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report indicated over 80% of the state’s report on COVID-19 specific hospitalizations (e.g., some reporting cumulative data, some daily new hospitalizations and others reporting both). The report found less than half of the states reported data on the ICU bed admissions with the data varying in frequency and presentation (e.g. some reporting it weekly, some reporting cumulative data, and others reporting from a subset of counties within their state). For example, Florida had detailed COVID-19 hospitalization data available on another state agency website, but not on or linked to their COVID-19 data dashboard. However, the report notes that there is no one indicator that fully captures the impact on hospitals from this disease.

The OAOA reviewed the Delaware COVID-19 website and data dashboard to determine the extent of information on the hospitalization indicators including whether that information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30 to present. As of March 31, 2020, the state reported current hospitalizations and suspected and confirmed new hospital admissions. We found the state does not report on several of the other recommended hospitalization-related metrics, including

1) COVID-19 hospitalizations per-capita rates by date and 7-day moving average;
2) Percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients by date; and
3) New infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contracted outside of the workplace by week.

As of the June 30, 2020 dashboard, Delaware reported ICU Bed Availability as “Stable” (75% or less occupied). While this piece of data is important, the dashboard should also include a percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed patients by date.

As of October 15, 2020, the state reported, current hospitalizations, current critical hospitalizations, suspected and confirmed new hospital admissions, and average daily hospitalizations. We also observed that the CDC currently reports estimated hospital utilization data for states and territories, and state representative estimates for hospital bed occupancy that are reported. DHSS did communicate to OAOA that they referred to CDC guidance and information during this pandemic for all aspects of this disease.

DHSS did communicate that hospital ventilators and PPE equipment availability were not reported on the state data dashboard, because they were deemed a security threat by DEMA.
Many public health and infectious disease experts have speculated that death tallies are underestimated, while others have suggested death tallies are being deliberately inflated (i.e., including by counting those with gun shots or alcohol poisoning). Further, there are also deaths indirectly related to COVID-19 and the extent of their reporting is unclear.

States handle the reporting of deaths differently due to lack of clear, consistently used definitions. DHSS/DPH communicated that they use the CDC definition for deaths, as also referenced on their dashboard. We found the CDC calculates deaths assigned to a specific cause through the Death-to-case ratio,\(^3^8\) which can be defined as the number of deaths attributed to a particular disease during a specified time period divided by the number of new cases of that disease identified during the same time period.\(^3^9\) Applying this formula to Delaware’s reported cases, as of October 15, 2020 Delaware had 590 confirmed (90 probable) deaths and 21,697 confirmed positive cases\(^4^0\) resulting in a case fatality rate of 2.72%. In other words, in Delaware, COVID-19 kills approximately 1 in every 37 confirmed cases.

\[
\text{Case Fatality ratio (CFR, in\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of deaths from disease}}{\text{Number of confirmed cases of disease}} \times 100
\]

Per the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report, daily deaths (i.e., new or running total) in confirmed cases was the only indicator reported by every state, with some states reporting additional information on their probable deaths. The report recommended reporting of the new confirmed and probable deaths by date and per-capita rates with a 7-day moving average. The report also indicates that state data on excess deaths (i.e., deaths in excess of historical averages in the same period) may give a complete picture of the overall impact of the pandemic but was not reported on state COVID-19 dashboards. Georgia was the only state that had all essential information regarding deaths. Other states, including Delaware had only partial information on deaths.

The OAOA reviewed how this information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present, including during the period that deaths spiked in April 2020. As of March 31, 2020, Delaware reported no death information. As of June 30, 2020, Delaware was reporting total, confirmed, and probable deaths; and a breakdown of deaths by age, county, sex, race, and ethnicity. As of the October 15, 2020, Delaware is reporting significantly more death information daily and in graphical form. This includes total deaths, per capita deaths, confirmed deaths, probable deaths, and deaths of residents in long-term care facilities, and deaths by county.

---


Delaware is also reporting:
- Most recent deaths
- Age range
- Individuals having underlying health conditions
- Residents of long-term care facilities
- Deaths by age and county
- Total deaths by sex
- Total deaths by sex and county
- Total deaths by race/ethnicity
- Total deaths by race/ethnicity and county
- Trends on recoveries and deaths.

By including this information in the dashboard, all pertinent information is now reflected for this indicator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Outcomes Indicator</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New COVID-19 confirmed and probable deaths per capita with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>🟩</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implemented

Partially Implemented

Not Implemented

Chart 4: Cumulative Delaware COVID-19 Deaths (including probable) as of October 13, 2020

---

Hospitalization metrics are useful to informing response. Currently, per the July 2020 “Tracking Covid-19 in the United States” report, there are many states, including Delaware, that do not report on new infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contacted outside of the workplace by week. Further, data in this area is very limited. This is best practice information that should be reported and further stratified by age, sex, race, and ethnicity.

The current data and science indicate that person-to-person transmission most often occurs during close contact with an individual infected with the virus. Healthcare workers are not only at higher risk of the infection but can also amplify outbreaks within healthcare facilities if they become ill. Identifying and managing healthcare workers who have been exposed to a patient with COVID-19 is of great importance in preventing healthcare transmission and protecting staff and vulnerable patients in healthcare settings.

According to a research study by The Lancelot Public Health42, from March 24, 2020 (UK) and March 29, 2020 (USA) to April 23, 2020, front-line healthcare workers were more than three times as likely to report a positive COVID-19 test during the first weeks of the pandemic. According to the study, front-line healthcare workers who reported having inadequate personal protective equipment (PPE) were 1.3 times more likely to have COVID-19 than those with adequate PPE.43 Healthcare workers who reused PPE were almost 1.5 times more likely to have COVID-19, and healthcare workers caring for patients with COVID-19 without adequate PPE were almost six times more likely to test positive, the researchers said.44 After accounting for pre-existing medical conditions, healthcare workers from Black, Asian, and minority ethnic backgrounds were almost five times more likely to report a positive COVID-19 result than somebody from the public, the researchers said.45

The July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the Unites States” report indicates other metrics are useful to inform the response to COVID-19. Per the report, there are many states, including Delaware, that do not report on new infections among healthcare workers not confirmed to have been contacted outside of the workplace by week. Further, the report indicates data in this area is very limited.

### Indicator 14 - Healthcare Workers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Healthcare Worker Indicator</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

43 Ibid.
44 Ibid.
45 Ibid.
Our review determined the extent of information on healthcare worker infections, including whether information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present. Delaware was not providing public reporting of data in this area as of June 30, 2020 and October 15, 2020. This is best practice information that should be reported and further stratified by age, sex, race, and ethnicity.

We did find the state provided guidance on their COVID-19 website for “… for Management of Persons with Suspected COVID-19 Exposure, Discontinuation of Home Isolation and Return to Work,” which details isolating and monitoring practices and return to work procedures as of July 27, 2020. We also found the CDC website, which we understand that DPH used as a reference during the pandemic, provides healthcare worker guidance.
Indicator 15 - Facial Masks

Adherence to public health and social measures, including wearing facial mask coverings is another essential indicator. The CDC updated their recommendations on facial masks, recommending the public start wearing cloth face coverings in public settings on April 24, 2020. The CDC stated that the public should not wear surgical masks or respirators (N95 masks) as they are in short supply and should be reserved for healthcare workers. Cloth masks “help prevent respiratory droplets from traveling into the air and onto other people when the person wearing the mask coughs, sneezes, talks, or raises their voice.”46 Surgical masks, on the other hand, only filter out large particles, while N95 masks releases unfiltered air when the wearer exhales. Because of this, they are deemed ineffective in the prevention of spreading the virus.47 The CDC currently continues to recommend a mandatory mask intervention for the general public. As of this report, medical experts recommend avoiding crowded indoor spaces and wearing masks are some of the most effective preventative actions individuals can take.

Delaware and other states followed the CDC guidance and mandated the use of facial mask coverings in specific settings, with Governor Carney implementing a mandatory face mask covering on April 25, 2020. The July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the Unites States” report indicates that wearing facial masks is a useful intervention and has been shown to be associated with a lower COVID-19 transmission. The report found that no states are routinely reporting on observed facial mask wearing rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Mask Indicator</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OAOA reviewed the extent of information Delaware provided on facial mask covering and whether that information changed or evolved during the period from March 31, 2020 to June 30, 2020 to present. Delaware does not have any reporting on the number of facial masks. We did find that the dashboard details the availability of face shields, N95 masks, and surgical masks as of June 30, 2020, but does not reflect any information regarding the public wearing facial masks. We observed that DHSS did provide guidance for the use of facial mask coverings including requirements, considerations, and mask maintenance on their website.

Delaware should consider reporting data on the percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings (e.g., mass transit, shopping), based on direct observation or security camera analysis, by a standard, consistent method, by week. There have been many news articles that indicate that many people with the COVID-19 infection are asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic. We understand that these people may be highly contagious and account for a percentage of all transmissions. Universal masking helps to prevent such people from spreading virus-laden secretions, whether they recognize that they are infected or not per infectious diseases specialists.
RECOMMENDATIONS

Our recommendations acknowledge the unprecedented nature of this pandemic. In a pandemic, appropriate data and information is critical for decision-makers, health professionals, and the public to understand the disease’s progression, and if Delaware is controlling the virus’ spread. Transparency of state government’s rationale for decisions and methodology should be shared. We believe Delaware is a work in progress and that opportunities exist to provide more comprehensive data dashboard reporting to better communicate how information leads to decisions and actions, and to provide educational and preparedness information for the public.

1. **Expand Data Dashboard:** The state paid for the development of a customized COVID-19 dashboard that would accumulate, track, visualize, and report on a variety of health and other pandemic related data. We recommend DHSS/DPH expand the publicly reported COVID-19 data and continue to build a more comprehensive data dashboard for reporting to the public. This project would require expansion of the current COVID-19 data to include all current best practices metrics, indicators, goals, demographic, geographic, and other data information (e.g., workplace etc.). Stratification of data highlighted in this special report should be included as well, along with indicators/metrics that continue to evolve as more is learned about this disease or that help monitor the impact of mitigation measures. For example, DHHS/DPH could address some of the following metrics:

   - Trigger for activation and deactivation of pandemic emergency response;
   - Indicator(s) on cross-state communications, information exchange, and coordination;
   - Indicator(s) for reviews (e.g., number of in action reviews of indicators) to support a systematic approach to lessons learned;
   - Indicator for respiratory protection equipment consumption;
   - Indicator(s) for vaccine monitoring (e.g., deployment of the vaccine) including coverage, safety, effectiveness, and acceptance;
   - Indicator on number of quarantined individuals arriving from other high-risk countries;
   - Indicator on interventions related to teleworking to reduce the level of contact in the workplace;
   - Indicator on Delaware’s mobile app that supports contract tracing coverage; and
   - Indicator on the number of visits to primary care doctors.

2. **Expand and Improve Communications on Website:** We recommend DHSS/DPH improve pandemic communications by addressing the following areas to improve public understanding:

   - **Summary of Data Indicators and Alert Levels:** DHSS/DPH should consider developing a one to two-page summary of data indicators and alert levels. This document would list each indicator and explain what it is and what it tells us. The document would also provide alert levels for the state or level of the public emergency and would be correlated/linked to what indicators are met or not met. This should be available on the public website as a .PDF document download.
- **Weekly COVID-19 Report:** DHSS/DPH should consider developing a weekly COVID-19 Report. This report would present data in an easy to interpret way and enhance the information on the data dashboard by providing trends over time, and any situational or other insights that would assist the public. This report would include an overview and summary of each dashboard indicator and its trends and insights.

- **Link and Document Data Reporting To Decisions and Actions on Website:** The decisions and actions (e.g. school planning, events, and business restrictions) taken by DHSS, DPH, and the Governor during this pandemic should be clearly linked to the COVID-19 data reported to the public, added to the website, and made available as a downloadable PDF.

- **Document Pandemic Decisions and Rationale/Methodology on Website:** The rationale and methodology for all decisions and actions should be documented and added to the website. This would include how DHSS/DPH determined what data to provide publicly (i.e., excluded protected health information etc.), in what format (i.e., number, percentage, different methods of calculations of data etc.), and when (i.e., timing) to report and what was the basis for those decisions (i.e., scientific evidence, knowledge gained, change in transmission risk etc.).

- **Document Information on Data Governance and Data Integrity on Website:** DHSS/DPH should provide information on data governance and data integrity. This would include how they maintained data quality, privacy, and security over the COVID-19 data reported, including information on internal controls, monitoring, and auditing activities in place and their frequency (e.g., quality control checks etc.). This would also include steps they took to address data errors (e.g., duplicate data), technical glitches, security breaches, and any other problems that occurred. In addition, DHSS/DPH should provide additional clarity to the public on when the data is provisional and when the data is expected to be reported as final.

- **Expand Pandemic Education and Public Outreach Materials on Website:** DHSS/DPH should provide additional education and outreach information on the website. This would include pandemic education and preparedness, which the state did not have enough emphasis on prior to the pandemic. Additionally, COVID-19 educational information for community outreach should be included, especially for more vulnerable Delawareans. For example, DHSS/DPH should consider developing and adding the following information:

  - A mechanism to capture supply chain information: How has Delaware addressed the supply chain issues that existed during the pandemic?
  - A mechanism to capture, assess, and report on public perceptions and concerns as well as information on misinformation, disinformation, and rumors to help raise awareness and cooperation with mitigation strategies; and
  - A mechanism to add targeted messaging and information for high risk/targeted specific populations, such as the various sectors of the Hispanic community members (i.e., Haiti, etc.), variety of manufacturing industry environments (i.e., smaller manufacturers may need more help), tourists, elderly, and workers in settings with elevated risk of exposure, etc.
- **Link to Current/Available Testing Sites on Dashboard:** DHSS/DPH should provide a list of testing sites on the website. The facilities on this list should be grouped by county and include facility contact information as well as operation hours.

3. **Website Usability:** DHSS/DPH should improve the usability of the data dashboard and website to make it easier for the public to maneuver and locate information. As members of the public, we sometimes struggled with locating information and maneuvering through the website.

- **Website “Chat” Functionality:** DHSS/DPH should improve public engagement by adding an online chat function to the website,
To respond effectively to the pandemic, federal, and state governments must provide transparent, accurate, and timely COVID-19 data to the public. In the context of the current COVID-19 health crisis, knowledge, and data sharing are crucial to understand and help to mitigate the pandemic. We found Delaware’s data dashboard to be useful if the end-user understands the caveats. In our reviews of the public data featured on the dashboard, we found that although the data dashboard continued to evolve and improve over the course of this pandemic, the data reported was sometimes inconsistent and incomplete. Further, we observed a lack of alignment among state dashboards on what is being measured and compared that we believe is also contributing to public uncertainty and inconsistency amid this pandemic and compounding mistrust and fear. Those who are using data to understand situations and make informed decisions should understand that not all data is created equal. Inaccurate data is everywhere.

As the July 2020 “Tracking COVID-19 in the United States” report stated,

“Particularly in the absence of a clear national vision, strategy, leadership, or organization, it is crucial to establish standardized, timely, accurate, interlinked, comparable, and informative dashboards for every state and county in the U.S. This is required to improving our control of the virus and maximizing our chance to get our children to school in the fall, ourselves back to work, our economy restarted, and to prevent tens of thousands of deaths.”

---


## Appendix 1

### 15 Best Practice Dashboard Indicators

![Image of a table showing 15 Best Practice Dashboard Indicators]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Stratification*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>New confirmed and probable cases and per-capita rates by date** with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>Age, sex, race, ethnicity and zip code, Outbreaks vs. community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Percent of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case by date, stratified by whether part of known outbreak or not, with threshold****</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity, Outbreaks vs. community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>New screening (e.g. antigen) and diagnostic (e.g. PCR) testing per-capita rates by date, with threshold, with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Percent of screening (e.g. antigen) and diagnostic (e.g. PCR) tests positive by date, with threshold, with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CL and ILL trends from emergency departments******</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>COVID hospitalization per-capita rates by date and 7-day moving average</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Percentage of licensed beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients by date</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>List (to extent legally permissible in State) of long-term care and other congregate facilities (homeless shelters, correctional facilities), essential workplace (e.g. meat packing) outbreaks with COVID-19 cases and deaths in residents and staff (cumulative and most recent week); aggregate numbers until specific legally allowed to be reported, if there are current restrictions</td>
<td>Cumulative and most recent week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New COVID-19 confirmed and probable deaths by date and per-capita rates with 7-day moving average</td>
<td>Age, sex, race, ethnicity and zip code, Outbreaks vs. community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Diagnostic (e.g. PCR) test turnaround time (specimen collection to test report), by week</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Time from specimen collection to isolation of cases, by week</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Percentage of cases interviewed for contact elicitation within 48 hours of case specimen collection, including all people with positive tests who reside in the jurisdiction, by week</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Percentage of new cases from among quarantined contacts, by week</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>New infections among health care workers not confirmed to have been contracted outside of the workplace, by week</td>
<td>Outbreaks vs. community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings (e.g., mass transit, shopping), based on direct observation or security camera analysis, by a standard, consistent method, by week</td>
<td>Age, sex, race &amp; ethnicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Appendix 2

### Delaware Dashboard Indicator Progression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing Indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New screening (e.g., antigen) and diagnostic (e.g., PCR) testing per capita rates by date, with threshold and 7-day moving average&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of screening (e.g., antigen and diagnostic (e.g., PCR) testing per capita rates by date, with threshold and 7-day moving average&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic (e.g., PCR) test turnaround time (e.g., specimen collection to test report) by week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from specimen collection to isolation of cases by week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cases Indicator</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New, confirmed, and probable cases and per capita rates by date with 7-day moving average&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Tracing Indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of new cases epidemiologically linked to at least one other case, stratified by whether part of known outbreak or not, with threshold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of positive cases interviewed for contact tracing within 48 hours of specimen collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of new cases from among quarantined contacts by week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the extent legally permissible, list outbreaks in long-term care and other congregate facilities; Other examples are homeless shelters, correctional facilities, and essential workplaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 daily hospitalization per capita rates and 7-day moving average&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of licensed hospital beds occupied by suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New COVID-19 confirmed and probable deaths per capita with 7-day moving average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New infections among healthcare workers contracted as a result of carrying out their duties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of people wearing masks correctly in public indoor settings based on direct observation or security camera analysis by week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID like illness and influenza like illness trends from emergency departments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Recommendation was a 7-day moving average, Delaware elected a 5-day moving average.
Appendices 3 and 4

For Appendix 3 see:

For Appendix 4 see: