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We have examined management’s assertion that the State of Delaware, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance, Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments Program (the “Program”) for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014, complies with the six verifications required by the Code of Federal Regulations - 42 CFR, Parts 447 and 455 and met the requirements of the State of Delaware’s Medicaid State Plan Section 4.19A (the “Requirements”). The Requirements are as follows:

- If applicable, recipient facilities have at least two obstetricians who have staff privileges at the facility and who have agreed to provide obstetric services to individuals who are entitled to medical assistance for such services under such State plan. In addition, a facility that is an Institute for Mental Disease must have a Medicaid inpatient utilization rate (as defined under Social Security Act Section 1923(b)) of not less than 1 percent.
- The Disproportionate Share Hospital (“DSH”) payments made to the facilities comply with the hospital-specific DSH payment limit.
- Only uncompensated care costs of furnishing inpatient and outpatient hospital services to Medicaid eligible individuals, less the amount paid by the State under the non-proportional share hospital payment provisions of the State Plan, and individuals with no third party coverage, less the amount of payments made by these patients, have been included in the calculation of the hospital-specific disproportionate share payment limit, as described in Section 1923(g)(1)(A) of the Social Security Act.
- For purposes of the hospital-specific limit calculation, any Medicaid payments (including regular Medicaid fee-for-service rate payments, supplemental/enhanced Medicaid payments, and Medicaid managed care organization payments) received by the facilities for furnishing inpatient hospital and outpatient hospital services to Medicaid eligible individuals, which are in excess of the Medicaid incurred costs for such services, have been applied against the uncompensated care costs of furnishing inpatient hospital and outpatient hospital services to individuals with no source of third party coverage for such services.
- Any information and records of all of its inpatient and outpatient hospital specific costs under the Medicaid program; claimed expenditures under the Medicaid program; uninsured inpatient and outpatient hospital service costs in determining payment adjustments; and any payments made on behalf of the uninsured from payment adjustments have been separately documented and retained.
The estimate of the hospital-specific DSH limit has been calculated in accordance with Section 1923(d)(5) of the Social Security Act.

Management of the Program is responsible for complying with these Requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management’s assertions about the facilities’ compliance based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and with Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America, and accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting compliance with the Requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the Program’s compliance with specified Requirements.

In our opinion, the State of Delaware, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance, Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments Program referred to above is in compliance with the Requirements in all material respects for the period July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

The Disproportionate Share Report for the year ended June 30, 2014 on page 5 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the examination report. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not examine the information and express no opinion on it.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we also issued our report dated August 30, 2016, on our consideration of the Program’s internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting and compliance. That report is an integral part of an examination performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our examination.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Office of Auditor of Accounts of the State of Delaware, the Department of Health and Social Services of the State of Delaware, the Board of Directors and management of Delaware Psychiatric Center, the Board of Directors and management of Saint Francis Hospital, the Board of Directors and management of MeadowWood Behavioral Health, Office of the Governor, Office of the Controller General, Office of the Attorney General, Office of Management and Budget, Office of the State Treasurer, and the Department of Finance. However, under 29 Del. C. Section 10002, this report is public record and its distribution is not limited.

Wilmington, Delaware
August 30, 2016
Independent Accountant’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Examination Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

State of Delaware
Office of Auditor of Accounts
Department of Health & Social Services
Division of Social Services Medicaid
Dover, Delaware

We have examined management’s assertion that the State of Delaware, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance, Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments Program (the “Program”) for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014, complies with the six verifications required by the Code of Federal Regulations - 42 CFR, Parts 447 and 455 and met the requirements of the State of Delaware’s Medicaid State Plan Section 4.19A (the “Requirements”). We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to financial examinations contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Reporting

Management of the Program is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting relative to the Program reporting requirements. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the Program’s internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on management’s assertions, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Program’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Program’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.
Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Program’s financial reports are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of reported amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our examination, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 14-001 and 14-002.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the related facility in a separate letter dated August 30, 2016.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Office of Auditor of Accounts of the State of Delaware, the Department of Health and Social Services of the State of Delaware, the Board of Directors and management of Delaware Psychiatric Center, the Board of Directors and management of Saint Francis Hospital, the Board of Directors and management of MeadowWood Behavioral Health, Office of the Governor, Office of the Controller General, Office of the Attorney General, Office of Management and Budget, Office of the State Treasurer, and the Department of Finance. However, under 29 Del. C., Section 10002, this report is available to the public and its distribution is not limited.

August 30, 2016
Supplementary Material
CURRENT YEAR CONDITIONS

CONDITION 14-001 (repeated from prior year):

Condition: During testing of payroll and employee records at the Delaware Psychiatric Center ("DPC"), fifteen out of sixty items selected for testing had both overtime and shift differential hours. For these fifteen items, the overtime rate was not calculated in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").

Criteria: Overtime pay for non-exempt employees is to be computed in accordance with the FLSA. Under the FLSA, overtime pay is determined by multiplying the employee’s “straight time of pay” by all overtime hours worked PLUS one-half of the employee’s “hourly regular rate of pay” times all overtime hours worked (5 CFR part 551).

The “hourly regular rate of pay” is calculated by dividing the total amount of pay earned, including shift differentials, by the total number of hours worked.

Cause: DPC did not use the prescribed method when calculating overtime pay. DPC calculated overtime pay by multiplying the employee’s “straight time of pay” by 1.5, adding the related shift differential, and then multiplying by overtime hours worked. This was not detected and corrected by Program management.

Effect: The amount of payroll tested was $80,073, and overtime paid for the fifteen items was $6,294. Had DPC calculated overtime under the FLSA guidelines, overtime pay would have been $5,910, or a difference of $384 (0.5% and 6.1% of payroll tested and overtime tested, respectively). The difference is clearly trivial, and as the amount paid was properly reported on the submitted report, no adjustment was proposed.

For the period under examination, DPC paid an overtime rate greater than what would have been calculated under the FLSA for those items tested. However, the risk is present that using a calculation other than the prescribed guidance could result in a lower rate of pay and DPC would not be in compliance with the FLSA.

Suggestion: Program management should review the payroll processes and procedures for the Facilities under the Program and ensure they are in compliance with all federal and state regulations.

Management’s Response: No response provided.
CONDITION 14-002

Condition: MeadowWood Behavioral Health ("MBH") was unable to provide adequate support for samples selected related to payroll and expenditure testing.

Criteria: CMS-2198-F, "General DSH Audit and Reporting Protocol", requires that Disproportionate Share Hospitals provide the state with specific cost and revenue data, including backup documentation, which an independent auditor may use to examine the required report. In addition, the facilities need to continue to provide information to the state that is required to determine DSH qualifications.

Cause: MBH did not keep adequate records supporting the cost and revenue data provided to the state.

Effect: The facility was unable to substantiate the amounts reported on the Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments Program Report submitted to the state. There was no way to adequately support the uncompensated care costs reported. Consequently, BDO was unable to determine if the uncompensated costs were appropriately reported. The facility is deemed to be out of compliance with the reporting guidance and requirements.

The amount of DSH Payments received by MBH was $10,000, out of a total of $11,638,966 or less than 0.1%. The amount paid to MBH was clearly trivial to the Program as a whole, consequently, it is not deemed to be a significant compliance finding for the Program.

Suggestion: Program management should consider requesting repayment of the amount paid to MBH.

Management’s Response: No response provided.

* * * * * * *
PRIOR YEAR CONDITIONS

CONDITION 13-001

Condition: During testing of payroll and employee records at the Delaware Psychiatric Center ("DPC"), twenty out of sixty items selected for testing had both overtime and shift differential hours. For these twenty items, the overtime rate was not calculated in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").

Criteria: Overtime pay for non-exempt employees is to be computed in accordance with the FLSA. Under the FLSA, overtime pay is determined by multiplying the employee’s “straight time of pay” by all overtime hours worked PLUS one-half of the employee’s “hourly regular rate of pay” times all overtime hours worked (5 CFR part 551).

The “hourly regular rate of pay” is calculated by dividing the total amount of pay earned, including shift differentials, by the total number of hours worked.

Cause: DPC did not use the prescribed method when calculating overtime pay. DPC calculated overtime pay by multiplying the employee’s “straight time of pay” by 1.5, adding the related shift differential, and then multiplying by overtime hours worked. This was not detected and corrected by Program management.

Effect: The amount of payroll tested was $103,701, and overtime paid for the twenty items was $14,995. Had DPC calculated overtime under the FLSA guidelines, overtime pay would have been $13,767, or a difference of $1,228 (1.18% and 8.19% of payroll tested and overtime tested, respectively). The difference is clearly trivial, and as the amount paid was properly reported on the submitted report, no adjustment was proposed.

For the period under examination, DPC paid an overtime rate greater than what would have been calculated under the FLSA for those items tested. However, the risk is present that using a calculation other than the prescribed guidance could result in a lower rate of pay and DPC would not be in compliance with the FLSA.

Suggestion: Program management should review the payroll processes and procedures for the Facilities under the Program and ensure they are in compliance with all federal and state regulations.

Management’s Response: No response provided.

CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR CONDITION

This condition has not been corrected as of June 30, 2014. See current year Condition 14-001.

* * * * * * *
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital Name</th>
<th>Estimated Hospital-Specific DSH Limit</th>
<th>Medicaid IP Utilization Rate</th>
<th>Low-Income Utilization Rate</th>
<th>Defined DSH Qualification Criteria</th>
<th>Regular IP/OP Medicaid and FFS Pmt</th>
<th>Supplemental/Enhanced IP/OP Medicaid Payments</th>
<th>Total Medicaid IP/OP Pmt</th>
<th>Total Care of Cms - Medicaid Pmt</th>
<th>Total Medicaid Uncompensated Care</th>
<th>Total Medicaid IP/OP Care for the Uninsured</th>
<th>Total Uninsured PPSC for Medicaid IP/OP Services</th>
<th>Total Uninsured PPSC for Medicaid OP Services</th>
<th>Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments</th>
<th>Medicaid Provider Number</th>
<th>Medicare Provider Number</th>
<th>Total Hospital Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Francis Hospital</td>
<td>8,442,827</td>
<td>38.54%</td>
<td>22.95%</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2,064,306</td>
<td>18,101,179</td>
<td>66,712,146</td>
<td>4,794,380</td>
<td>2,484,202</td>
<td>6,471,315</td>
<td>8,442,827</td>
<td>124,911,056</td>
<td>00-0000</td>
<td>121,819,814</td>
<td>133,818,861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutes for Mental Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Psychiatric Center</td>
<td>24,342,937</td>
<td>51.04%</td>
<td>96.67%</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1,925</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,305,020</td>
<td>8,128,843</td>
<td>24,342,937</td>
<td>3,186,139</td>
<td>138,682,704</td>
<td>00-0001</td>
<td>38,913,547</td>
<td>38,913,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadowood Behavioral Hospital</td>
<td>167,714</td>
<td>30.60%</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>68,544</td>
<td>4,142,687</td>
<td>16,212,096</td>
<td>1,089,177</td>
<td>130,750</td>
<td>1,089,177</td>
<td>167,714</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>(3) (3)</td>
<td>15,378,189</td>
<td>15,378,189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Hospital Costs                   |                                      |                             |                             |                                  |                                     |                                           |                          |                                 |                                    |                                                               |                                                               |                                                               |                                                              |                        |                        |                          |
|                                       |                                      |                             |                             |                                  |                                     |                                           |                          |                                 |                                    |                                                               |                                                               |                                                               |                                                              |                        |                        |                          |

(1) State defined Disproportionate Share Hospital criteria, Acute General Care Hospitals:
- Comply with requirements of Social Security Act Section 1923 (d) and (i)
- Is a not-for-profit hospital categorized as an acute care general hospital
- Has an inpatient facility located within an incorporated city in Delaware with population > 50,000 and provides obstetric services at that facility to the general population
- Is an enrolled provider with all participating DE Medicaid/CHIP managed care organizations
- Has a low income utilization rate > 15%

(2) State defined Disproportionate Share Hospital Qualification Criteria, Institutes for Mental Disease
- Comply with requirements of Social Security Act Section 1923 (d) and (i)
- Is a public psychiatric hospital (owned or operated by an agency of DE state government)

(3) Not provided by facility. Please see Finding 14-002.