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Background

School District Construction Projects

In accordance with 29 Del. C. §7509, the Department of Education (DOE) shall determine the present necessity for any school construction program. Once a School District (District) identifies the need for a construction project and receives approval from its local Board of Education (Board), they submit a Major Capital Improvement Program request form to the DOE by August 31 each year. The DOE then meets with the District to review appropriate documentation and discuss the necessity of the project. If it is deemed necessary, the DOE will include the project in its budget request for the following fiscal year. All projects that are included in the budget request are then issued a Certificate of Necessity (CN) by the end of November, which authorizes the construction project and details the scope and cost limits for the project.  

The DOE calculates the amount of State of Delaware (State) funding the District will receive using a standard school construction formula. The formula is uniform throughout the State and is based on pupil capacity for the type of school requested (i.e., elementary, middle, or high school). The remainder of the cost is paid with local tax receipts, thus referred to as the local share.

Before a District can issue bonds to fund the local share of the construction costs, taxpayers must approve the bond sale through a referendum. A referendum may occur any time after a CN is issued, and the District has one year and two opportunities to pass a successful referendum before needing to request a new CN from the DOE. The timing of each referendum is at the discretion of the Board. Vocational-Technical Districts are not subject to the referendum process. Instead, the Legislature approves these Districts’ tax rates.

The District must provide adequate public notice of the referendum that includes the purpose and amount of the proposed bond issuance, as well as the estimated annual amount of tax increase upon approval. The estimated increase, however, is based on certain assumptions that are subject to change, such as the anticipated interest rate on new bonds. Therefore, taxpayers are voting on the approval of the construction project and cannot rely on the advertised referendum rate as the effective tax rate.

The District may ask their taxpayers to approve a local share in excess of the school construction formula to finance additional options. For example, if a construction project included expanding a particular school building and the District wished to continue the same flooring throughout the entire building, taxpayers may be asked to fund the costs in excess of the State formula. This particular request must be clearly labeled on the referendum voting ballot using the language set forth in 14 Del C. §2004.

---

1 DOE’s School Construction Manual, Section 2: Major Capital Improvement Program Regulations (http://facilitynet.doe.k12.de.us/schooldata/)
2 14 Del. C. §2122
3 14 Del. C. c. 26
4 14 Del. C. §1074 (b)
5 Other rates that are not subject to voter approval (e.g., tuition, minor capital improvements) may be increased by the District to meet annual demands, thus resulting in the effective tax rate for the District.
The DOE presents their budget of all the State’s Districts’ construction projects to the Office of Management and Budget for approval and inclusion in the Governor’s Recommended Budget. The Governor’s Recommended Budget is then subject to final approval by the Bond Bill Committee and the Legislature via the Bond and Capital Improvements Act (also referred to as the Bond Bill). If a District fails to obtain a successful referendum before June 30, their project is removed from the Governor’s Recommended Budget and not included in that year’s Bond Bill.

The District’s Board then has the “power to employ engineers, architects and such other employees as it deems essential…” for their construction project per 29 Del. C. §7521. All final plans and specifications, including costs of construction under any school construction bond authorization act, are approved by the DOE.

Depending on various factors (e.g., the total cost of the project, other projects within the State), the District will receive funding for their construction project over the course of several fiscal years. For each State bond sale, the District receives an aggregate allocation of funds and must pay back a portion of those funds with local tax receipts. The local share for each District in the State can vary between 20% and 40%, depending on an assessment of the District’s ability to meet their obligations.

The District coordinates with the Office of the State Treasurer to pay the local share of their outstanding principal and interest payments in accordance with 14 Del. C. §2108. Annually, the Office of Auditor of Accounts audits the Districts’ local tax collections and debt service management in a separate engagement. Further, this engagement examines all State and local construction project expenditures.

In the event the construction project comes in under budget, the District may request permission from the State legislature to redirect the State-funded portion of the excess budget amount to fund another project. The subsequent epilogue language in the Bond Bill dictates if the District must put forth local tax receipts and what sources of funding the District may use, such as Minor Capital Improvement funds. Since this is a result of Delaware law, the change does not require a referendum.

There are no legal or statutory provisions that would prohibit a District from utilizing excess funds for early repayment of their debt.

**Maintenance of Records**

The District should maintain all appropriate documentation related to their construction projects and related debt, including documents related to the referendum process, for audit purposes.

---

6 Same as footnote 1
7 29 Del. C. §7518
8 29 Del. C. §7503 (b)
9 An Equalization Committee, as defined by 14 Del. C. §1707 (i), is comprised of 10 to 15 members appointed by the Secretary of the DOE. The equalization formula (detailed in 14 Del. C. §1707) incorporates an annual survey conducted by the University of Delaware and is annually reviewed and approved by the Equalization Committee.
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Independent Accountants’ Report

We have examined the accompanying State of Delaware Colonial School District (the District) Schedule of Construction Projects for the year ended June 30, 2015. The District’s management is responsible for the Schedule of Construction Projects. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the District’s Schedule of Construction Projects and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in the Schedule of Current Year Findings, the District did not classify expenditures properly on the Schedule of Construction Projects, either creating an overstatement or understatement of expenditures by project in prior years. As a result, the amounts reported in the Expended in Prior Year column, the Total Expended to Date column, and the corresponding Balance as of 6/30/15 column are correct in total, but not accurately stated by project.

In our opinion, the Schedule of Construction Projects referred to above does not present fairly, in all material respects, the construction projects for the District for the year ended June 30, 2015, based on the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the State of Delaware Generally Accepted Accounting Principles Reporting Package Manual, the State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual, and the State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that could have a material effect on the Schedule of Construction Projects; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the subject matter. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective
actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Schedule of Construction Projects is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control applicable to preparing the Schedule of Construction Projects or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinion. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Current Year Findings as finding 2015-1 to be a material weakness. Our examination disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards, described in the accompanying Schedule of Current Year Findings as findings 2015-1 and 2015-2.

We noted certain matters that we reported to the management of the District in a separate letter dated November 11, 2015.

We did not examine the amounts reported in the Expended in Prior Years column on the Schedule of Construction Projects that were expended prior to July 1, 2010 and, therefore, express no opinion on those amounts.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of District management and Board Members, the Office of Auditor of Accounts, and the Department of Education and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, under 29 Del. C., §10002(l), this report is public record and its distribution is not limited. This report, as required by statute, was provided to the Office of the Governor, Office of the Controller General, Office of the Attorney General, and Office of Management and Budget.

November 11, 2015
Newark, Delaware
# Schedule of Construction Projects

## Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>APPR</th>
<th>Source of Funding (State/Local)</th>
<th>Original Funding</th>
<th>Increases (Decreases) in Funding</th>
<th>Total Funding to Date</th>
<th>Expended in Prior Years</th>
<th>Expended in Current Year</th>
<th>Total Expended to Date</th>
<th>Balance as of 6/30/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colwyck Elementary School</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>50111</td>
<td>67/33</td>
<td>$4,499,000</td>
<td>$(3,206,045)</td>
<td>$1,292,955</td>
<td>$1,292,955</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,292,955</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Read Middle School</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>50124</td>
<td>67/33</td>
<td>$6,047,300</td>
<td>$2,564,962</td>
<td>$8,612,262</td>
<td>$8,371,595</td>
<td>$82,280</td>
<td>$8,453,875</td>
<td>158,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Penn High School</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>50152</td>
<td>67/33</td>
<td>$10,674,200</td>
<td>$620,000</td>
<td>$11,294,200</td>
<td>$10,406,673</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>$10,418,173</td>
<td>876,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leach 9553</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>50348</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>$2,678,400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2,678,400</td>
<td>$1,859,655</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$1,862,155</td>
<td>816,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction Projects Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$23,898,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,877,817</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,930,878</strong></td>
<td><strong>$96,280</strong></td>
<td><strong>$22,027,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,850,659</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Schedule of Current Year Findings  
June 30, 2015

Finding 2015-1

Criteria

*Internal Control – Integrated Framework*, published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, defines control activities as “policies and procedures that help ensure management directives are carried out.” Control activities occur throughout an organization, at all levels and functions, and include a wide range of activities, such as authorizations, verifications, reconciliations, reviews of operating performance, security of assets, and segregation of duties. To ensure control activities meet the objectives of management, supporting documentation for all such activities should be referred to or maintained with the financial documents. Management review controls are defined as activities of a person different than the preparer analyzing and performing oversight of activities performed and is an integral part of any internal control structure.

29 Del. C. §6504 states:

“Each agency shall keep a detailed account of all receipts and expenditures under such appropriate headings, classifications, and arrangements as may be prescribed by the Director of the OMB and used in the budget estimates. All bills, statements, letters, vouchers, and documents pertaining to these receipts and disbursements shall be preserved and systematically filed by each agency.”

In addition, 29 Del. C. §6528 defines the necessary approvals needed for transfer of funds between projects.

Condition

The District does not have a formal management review or reconciliation process in place for the *Schedule of Construction Projects* to ensure that amounts presented exist, are completely and accurately stated, and properly reconcile to supporting documentation.

We further noted that the *Schedule of Construction Projects* prepared by the District did not properly present information, as detailed below:

- Original Funding amounts did not reconcile to Bond Bills, but rather agreed to the remaining funding amounts in the First State Financials (FSF) Daily Validity Report (DGL060). Total Original Funding reported by the District was $8,331,209; the correct Total Original Funding is $23,898,900.

- The total Increases (Decreases) in Funding amount was reported as $678,917. The amount reported by the District included transfer activity from the FSF reports, but did not include prior year transfer activity from the prior accounting system of $(700,000). The correct Increases/Decreases in Funding amount is $(21,083).
- The Total Expended in Prior Years amount was reported as $7,063,188. The amount reported by the District included expenditures from FSF reports, but improperly excluded amounts expended in prior years on these projects from the prior accounting system of $14,867,690. The correct total Expended in Prior Years amount is $21,930,878.

Note: The Schedule of Construction Projects included on page 3 of this report reflects the corrected balance for Original Funding and Increases/Decreases in Funding. Total Expended in Prior Years has been updated to agree to system reports and is correct in total; however, the District has not reported prior year expenditures, by project, accurately (see further detail below).

Although the District did not misclassify current year expenditures, the District did not correct the following errors found during the 2011 examination engagement in the Schedule of Construction Projects for the year ended June 30, 2015. As a result, the Schedule of Construction Projects for the year ended June 30, 2015 remains misstated for amounts Expended in Prior Years, by project.

We identified several transactions in the 2011 examination engagement where the detail of project costs per the FSF voucher did not agree to the breakout of costs, by project, on supporting invoices. The misclassification lead to the following projects being overstated and (understated) on the Schedule of Construction Projects for the year ended June 30, 2011:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castle Hills</td>
<td>$(85,496.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colwyck &amp; Leach</td>
<td>$(6,911.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenberg</td>
<td>$2,982.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunning Bedford</td>
<td>$(14,168.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCullough</td>
<td>$(34,860.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasantville</td>
<td>$110,806.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Penn</td>
<td>$82,096.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause

The District did not provide proper oversight regarding the review of amounts included in the Schedule of Construction Projects. In addition, the District did not use reports from FSF and the prior accounting system in the preparation of the Schedule of Construction Projects; FSF reports do not include funding or expenditure information prior to the implementation of the system (July 2011). In addition, the District did not comply with 29 Del. C. §6504, referenced above, to ensure an accurate, detailed account of all receipts and expenditures under such appropriate headings, classifications, and arrangements.

In fiscal year 2015, the District elected not to correct the balances, resulting in the Expended in Prior Years column, the Total Expended to Date column and the corresponding Balance as of 6/30/15 column for each project being overstated or understated in the June 30, 2015 Schedule of Construction Projects.

Effect

Expenditures were not classified properly on the Schedule of Construction Projects, creating either an overstatement or understatement of expenditures by project.
Without formal review sign-offs (either on paper or electronically through e-mail), there was no evidence that the review process took place. Lack of a management review control or reconciliation process resulted in incomplete or inaccurate amounts being reported for construction project activity for the District.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that the District develop and implement a formal management review and reconciliation process for the *Schedule of Construction Projects*. This process should include steps to ensure that amounts presented in the *Schedule of Construction Projects* exist, are completely and accurately stated, and properly reconcile to supporting documentation (i.e., bond bills, FSF reports, etc.).

We further recommend that this process be documented in the District’s written policies and procedures, and that the District document the preparation and review of the *Schedule of Construction Projects* via sign-offs and dating by both the preparer and the reviewer to document the dates that the *Schedule of Construction Projects* was completed and reviewed/approved.

The District should ensure that all expenditures are appropriately classified by project and that any necessary transfers are properly approved and processed in FSF.

**District Response**

The District prepared the Schedule of Construction Projects in accordance with the instructions provided by the Auditor’s Office. While a formal process for review and approval of this report is not documented, the report was reviewed prior to submission. Going forward, Colonial will take steps to formalize and document the review and approval process that is already in place.

**Finding 2015-2**

**Criteria**

The following *State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual* (SCM) requirements apply to construction files for new projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidance Reference</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCM 2.0, Section 5.2</td>
<td>The District shall notify the DOE, the State Budget Director, and the State Insurance Coverage Office at the completion of the construction, which is defined as when the District, with the concurrence of the architect, accepts the building as complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM 5.0, Section 5.63</td>
<td>Present regulation requires that the District notify the State Office of School Plant Planning, the State Auditor, the State Budget Director, and the State Insurance Coverage Office upon substantial completion. When using the CM technique, a notification of substantial completion will be made for each prime contract.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Guidance Reference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidance Reference</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCM 2.0, Section 5.3</td>
<td>The District shall notify the DOE, the State Auditor, and the State Budget Director upon approval of the Certificate of Occupancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM 2.0, Section 5.4</td>
<td>The District shall notify the DOE, the State Auditor, and the State Budget Director upon approval of the Certificate of Occupancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In testing the construction file for the George Read Middle School (appropriation 50124), we noted that the District did not have documentation in the project’s construction file to demonstrate compliance with the provision of the SCM 2.0, Section 5.2; SCM 5.0, Section 5.63; SCM 2.0, Section 5.3; or SCM 2.0, Section 5.4, as noted above.

**Cause**

Management of the District was not aware of the requirement to submit these documents to the respective State agencies.

**Effect**

The District was not in compliance with the provisions of the SCM, as noted above.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that the District develop and implement a management review process (i.e., checklist) to ensure that all required documentation is submitted to appropriate State agencies and maintained in construction project files to ensure compliance with the provisions of the SCM.

**District Response**

The District was not aware of this requirement as it had been completed by the contracted architectural firm in the past. Colonial has already taken steps to make the relevant staff aware of this and all requirements under the School Construction Manual and will use this as a checklist for all projects going forward.