



CAESAR RODNEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION EXAMINATION
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021



CAESAR RODNEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

REPORT SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

BACKGROUND

An examination engagement of the Caesar Rodney School District Schedule of Construction Projects to determine whether it is in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code. The State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual, and the State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual.

In accordance with 29 Del. C. §7526, the State Auditor is mandated to conduct post-audits of any school construction improvement authorized by a school construction bond authorization act. This engagement was performed to examine financial data, recordkeeping and reports generated by the school district and related to Bond Bill construction projects.

KEY INFORMATION AND FINDINGS

Since fiscal year 2016, state and local funding for Caesar Rodney School District totaled \$95 million in construction appropriations, including nearly \$15.5 million expended in FY2021. Our responsibility is to obtain reasonable assurance by evaluating the Schedule of Construction Projects against the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual, and the State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual and performing other procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence to express an opinion that conveys the results of our evaluation.

We have examined the Caesar Rodney School District's financial data, recordkeeping and reports related to its Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, to determine whether the district's Capital Budget process was in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual and the State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual.

It is my pleasure to report this engagement contained no findings on the Schedule of Construction Projects for Caesar Rodney School District.



CAESAR RODNEY SCHOOL DISTRICT


REPORT SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

KEY INFORMATION AND FINDINGS

Caesar Rodney School District Summary of Active Project Capital Funding

FY	Total Funding to Date	Total Expended to Date	Balance as of 6/30/21
2016	\$ 4,766,900	\$ 4,763,198	\$ 3,702
2017	\$ 9,696,100	\$ 9,542,867	\$ 153,233
2018	\$ 50,440,327	\$ 48,754,111	\$ 1,686,216
2019	\$ 25,026,396	\$ 19,522,553	\$ 5,503,843
2020	\$ 5,142,676	\$ 3,232,648	\$ 1,910,028
Total:	\$ 95,072,399	\$ 85,815,377	\$ 9,257,022

Caesar Rodney Total Funding and Remaining Project Balance by Fiscal Year



**CAESAR RODNEY SCHOOL DISTRICT
STATEWIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT EXAMINATION ENGAGEMENT
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021**

BACKGROUND

School District Construction Projects

In accordance with 29 Del. C. §7509, the Department of Education (DOE) shall determine the present necessity for any school construction program. Once a School District (the District) identifies the need for a construction project and receives approval from its local Board of Education (the Board), it submits a request for Certificate of Necessity to the DOE by August 31 each year. The DOE then meets with the District to review appropriate documentation and discuss the necessity of the project. If it is deemed necessary, the DOE will include the project in its budget request for the following fiscal year. All projects that are included in the budget request are then issued a Certificate of Necessity (CN) by late October of each fiscal year, which authorizes the construction project and details the scope and cost limits for the project.¹

The DOE calculates the amount of the State of Delaware (the State) funding the District will receive using a standard school construction formula. The formula is uniform throughout the State and is based on pupil capacity for the type of school requested (i.e., elementary, middle, or high school). The remainder of the cost is paid with local tax receipts, thus referred to as the local share. Before a District can issue bonds to fund the local share of the construction costs, taxpayers must approve the bond sale through a referendum.² A referendum may occur any time after a CN is issued, and the District has one year and two opportunities to pass a successful referendum before needing to request a new CN from the DOE. The timing of each referendum is at the discretion of the Board. Vocational-Technical Districts are not subject to the referendum process. Instead, the Legislature approves these Districts' tax rates.³

The District must provide adequate public notice of the referendum that includes the purpose and amount of the proposed bond issuance, as well as the estimated annual amount of tax increase upon approval.⁴ The estimated increase, however, is based on certain assumptions that are subject to change, such as the anticipated interest rate on new bonds.⁵ Therefore, taxpayers are voting on the approval of the construction project and cannot rely on the advertised referendum rate as the effective tax rate. The District may ask its taxpayers to approve a local share in excess of the school construction formula to finance additional options. For example, if a construction project included expanding a particular school building and the District wished to continue the same flooring throughout the entire building, taxpayers may be asked to fund the costs in excess of the State formula. This particular request must be clearly labeled on the referendum voting ballot using the language set forth in 14 Del C. §2004.

¹ DOE's School Construction Technical Assistance Manual, Section 1.10, *Summary of Steps in the Major Capital Improvement Process*

² 14 Del. C. §2122

³ 14 Del. C., c. 26

⁴ 14 Del. C. §1074 (b)

⁵ Other rates that are not subject to voter approval (e.g., tuition, minor capital improvements) may be increased by the District to meet annual demands, thus resulting in the effective tax rate for the District.

The DOE presents its budget of all the State's Districts' construction projects to the Office of Management and Budget for approval and inclusion in the Governor's Recommended Budget. ⁶ The Governor's Recommended Budget is then subject to final approval by the Bond Bill Committee and the Legislature via the Bond and Capital Improvements Act (also referred to as the Bond Bill). If a District fails to obtain a successful referendum before June 30, its project is removed from the Governor's Recommended Budget and not included in that year's Bond Bill.

The District's Board then has the "power to employ engineers, architects and such other employees as it deems essential..." for its construction project per 29 Del. C. §7521. All final plans and specifications, including costs of construction under any school construction bond authorization act, are approved by the DOE. ⁷

Depending on various factors (e.g., the total cost of the project, other projects within the State), the District will receive funding for its construction project over the course of several fiscal years. For each State bond sale, the District receives an aggregate allocation of funds and must pay back a portion of those funds with local tax receipts. The local share for each District in the State can vary,⁸ depending on an assessment of the District's ability to meet its obligations. ⁹

The District coordinates with the Office of the State Treasurer to pay the local share of its outstanding principal and interest payments in accordance with 14 Del. C. §2108. Annually, the Office of Auditor of Accounts audits the Districts' local tax collections and debt service management in a separate engagement. Further, this engagement examines Bond Bill construction project expenditures.

In the event the construction project comes in under budget, the District may request permission from the State legislature to redirect the State-funded portion of the excess budget amount to fund another project. The subsequent epilogue language in the Bond Bill dictates if the District must put forth local tax receipts and what sources of funding the District may use, such as Minor Capital Improvement funds. Since this is a result of Delaware law, the change does not require a referendum.

There are no legal or statutory provisions that would prohibit a District from utilizing excess funds for early repayment of its debt.

Maintenance of Records

The District should maintain all appropriate documentation related to its construction projects and related debt, including documents related to the referendum process, for audit purposes.

⁶ Same as footnote 1

⁷ 29 Del. C. §7518

⁸ 29 Del. C. §7503 (b)

⁹ An Equalization Committee, as defined by 14 Del. C. §1707 (j), is comprised of 10 to 15 members appointed by the Secretary of the DOE. The equalization formula (detailed in 14 Del. C. §1707) incorporates an annual survey conducted by the University of Delaware and is annually reviewed and approved by the Equalization Committee.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT	1
SCHEDULE OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS	3



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

Dr. Corey Miklus
Superintendent
Caesar Rodney School District
7 Front Street
Wyoming, Delaware 19934

The Honorable Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D.
Secretary, Department of Education
Townsend Building, Suite 2
401 Federal Street
Dover, Delaware 19901

We have examined the accompanying State of Delaware Caesar Rodney School District (the District) Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 to determine whether it is in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual (SCM), and the State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual (BAM). The District's management is responsible for the presentation of the Schedule of Construction Projects in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the SCM, and the BAM. Our responsibility is to obtain reasonable assurance by evaluating the Schedule of Construction Projects against the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the SCM, and the BAM and performing other procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence to express an opinion that conveys the results of our evaluation based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with the attestation standards for a direct examination engagement established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we obtain reasonable assurance by evaluating the District's presentation of the Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 against the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the SCM, and the BAM and performing other procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence to express an opinion that conveys the results of our evaluation of the District's presentation of the Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks that the District's Schedule of Construction Projects was not in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the SCM, and the BAM in all material respects, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are required to be independent of the District, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our examination engagement.

In our opinion, the District's Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 presents fairly, in all material respects, based on the criteria set forth in the Delaware Code, the SCM, and the BAM.

Dr. Corey Miklus
Caesar Rodney School District

The Honorable Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D.
Department of Education

Expenditures of \$34,704,508 reported in the Expended in Prior Years column of the Schedule of Construction Projects were incurred prior to July 1, 2018 and were examined by other accountants. The fiscal year ended June 30, 2018 report dated January 7, 2019, expressed an unmodified opinion on the Schedule of Construction Projects. There were \$3,035 in expenditures reported in the Expended in Prior Years column of the Schedule of Construction Projects between July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019 which have not been subjected to examination procedures. As a result, we did not examine expenditures incurred prior to July 1, 2019 reported in the Expended in Prior Years column of the Schedule of Construction Projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 and, therefore, express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's Schedule of Construction Projects; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance, noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the subject matter. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether District's Schedule of Construction Projects is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control applicable to preparing the District's Schedule of Construction Projects or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our examination disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of District management and board members, the Office of Auditor of Accounts, and the Department of Education and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, under 29 Del. C. §10002, this report is public record, and its distribution is not limited. This report, as required by statute, was provided to the Office of the Governor, Office of the Controller General, General Assembly, Office of the Attorney General, and Office of Management and Budget.

Barbacane, Thornton & Company LLP
BARBACANE, THORNTON & COMPANY LLP

Wilmington, Delaware
July 17, 2024

**SCHEDULE OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021**

Project Name	FY	APPR	Source of Funding (State/Local)	Original Funding Amount	Increases (Decreases) in Funding	Total Funding to Date	Expended in Prior Years	Expended in Current Year	Total Expended to Date	Balance as of 6/30/21
Caesar Rodney Renovat John S. Charlton	16	50461	100/0	\$ 4,766,900	\$ -	\$ 4,766,900	\$ 4,685,243	\$ 77,955	\$ 4,763,198	\$ 3,702
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Frear)	18	50465	79/21	57,600	(13,450)	44,150	44,150	-	44,150	-
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Frear)	19	50465	79/21	695,400	(695,400)	-	-	-	-	-
Caesar Rodney Adds Rens to CRHS	17	50466	79/21	5,669,000	-	5,669,000	5,431,093	136,823	5,567,916	101,084
Caesar Rodney Adds Rens to CRHS	18	50466	79/21	18,106,100	(4,800,000)	13,306,100	13,106,483	199,617	13,306,100	-
Caesar Rodney Adds Rens to CRHS	19	50466	79/21	16,134,600	4,800,000	20,934,600	14,522,241	2,851,289	17,373,530	3,561,070
Caesar Rodney Ren Fred FiferIII	17	50468	79/21	533,700	950,000	1,483,700	1,471,822	11,878	1,483,700	-
Caesar Rodney Ren Fred FiferIII	18	50468	79/21	3,224,000	613,450	3,837,450	3,599,708	232,602	3,832,310	5,140
Caesar Rodney Ren Fred FiferIII	19	50468	79/21	3,220,000	(2,254,505)	965,495	45,845	(14,380)	31,465	934,030
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Simpson)	18	50469	79/21	62,200	(60,075)	2,125	2,125	-	2,125	-
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Simpson)	19	50469	79/21	750,300	(750,300)	-	-	-	-	-
Caesar Rodney Ren F Neil Postlethwait	17	50471	79/21	564,100	340,000	904,100	890,342	13,758	904,100	-
Caesar Rodney Ren F Neil Postlethwait	18	50471	79/21	3,428,700	1,253,024	4,681,724	4,307,367	338,011	4,645,378	36,346
Caesar Rodney Ren F Neil Postlethwait	19	50471	79/21	3,382,400	(1,772,599)	1,609,801	54,798	585,018	639,816	969,985
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Brown)	18	50472	79/21	54,900	(53,024)	1,876	1,876	-	1,876	-
Caesar Rodney Renovat (Brown)	19	50472	79/21	662,300	(662,300)	-	-	-	-	-
Caesar Rodney Ren Start Hill ES	18	50473	79/21	2,189,100	107,727	2,296,827	1,993,421	(11,950)	1,981,471	315,356
Caesar Rodney Ren Nellie Hughes S	18	50475	79/21	3,122,700	4,353,675	7,476,375	6,083,949	63,052	6,147,001	1,329,374
Caesar Rodney Construct 600 Stude (Robinson)	17	50477	79/21	1,639,300	-	1,639,300	1,491,836	95,315	1,587,151	52,149
Caesar Rodney Construct 600 Stude (Robinson)	18	50477	79/21	18,793,700	-	18,793,700	12,416,683	6,377,017	18,793,700	-
Caesar Rodney Construct 600 Stude (Robinson)	19	50477	79/21	1,516,500	-	1,516,500	157,335	1,320,407	1,477,742	38,758
Caesar Rodney Construct 600 Stude (Robinson)	20	50477	79/21	-	5,142,676	5,142,676	13,845	3,218,803	3,232,648	1,910,028
Construction Projects Total				\$ 88,573,500	\$ 6,498,899	\$ 95,072,399	\$ 70,320,162	\$ 15,495,215	\$ 85,815,377	\$ 9,257,022

Notes

* The negative amounts in the Expended in Current Year column are recoded expenses from Fifer and Star Hill. The negative amounts do not exactly match the amounts in the schedule due to other expenditures against the appropriations reducing the negative from the JV throughout the year.