

RED CLAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Statewide School District Construction

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019



Kathleen K. McGuinness, RPh, CFE
Delaware State Auditor

Read the full report at auditor.delaware.gov



KATHLEEN
McGUINNESS
DELAWARE
STATE AUDITOR

School Construction: Red Clay School District

What Was Performed? An examination of the Red Clay School District's Schedule of Construction Projects for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019.

Why This Engagement? School construction examinations are performed to determine compliance with *Delaware Code*, the *State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual*, and the *State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual*. This engagement was performed in accordance with 29 Del. C. §7526 and examines Bond Bill construction project expenditures incurred by the school district.

What Was Found? It is my pleasure to report this engagement contained an unmodified opinion.¹ In addition, our examination disclosed one finding required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- The district did not notify the Department of Education, the Budget Director, the State Insurance Coverage Office or the State Auditor of substantial completion and of approval of occupancy for its completed HB DuPont and Lewis middle schools projects.

The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019 Statewide School Districts' Construction Projects Examination Engagements for Red Clay School District can be found on our website: [click here](#).

Please do not reply to this email. For any questions regarding the attached report, please contact State Auditor Kathleen McGuinness at Kathleen.Mcguinness@delaware.gov.

¹ An unmodified opinion is sometimes referred to as a "clean" opinion. It is one in which the auditor expresses an opinion that the referenced schedule(s) present fairly, in all material respects, the information based on established criteria as stated in the audit report.

Background

School District Construction Projects

In accordance with 29 Del. C. §7509, the Department of Education (DOE) shall determine the present necessity for any school construction program. Once a School District (the District) identifies the need for a construction project and receives approval from its local Board of Education (the Board), it submits a Major Capital Improvement Program request form to the DOE by August 31 each year. The DOE then meets with the District to review appropriate documentation and discuss the necessity of the project. If it is deemed necessary, the DOE will include the project in its budget request for the following fiscal year. All projects that are included in the budget request are then issued a Certificate of Necessity (CN) by late October of each fiscal year, which authorizes the construction project and details the scope and cost limits for the project.¹

The DOE calculates the amount of State of Delaware (the State) funding the District will receive using a standard school construction formula. The formula is uniform throughout the State and is based on pupil capacity for the type of school requested (i.e., elementary, middle, or high school). The remainder of the cost is paid with local tax receipts, thus referred to as the local share.

Before a District can issue bonds to fund the local share of the construction costs, taxpayers must approve the bond sale through a referendum.² A referendum may occur any time after a CN is issued, and the District has one year and two opportunities to pass a successful referendum before needing to request a new CN from the DOE. The timing of each referendum is at the discretion of the Board. Vocational-Technical Districts are not subject to the referendum process. Instead, the Legislature approves these Districts' tax rates.³

The District must provide adequate public notice of the referendum that includes the purpose and amount of the proposed bond issuance, as well as the estimated annual amount of tax increase upon approval.⁴ The estimated increase, however, is based on certain assumptions that are subject to change, such as the anticipated interest rate on new bonds.⁵ Therefore, taxpayers are voting on the approval of the construction project and cannot rely on the advertised referendum rate as the effective tax rate.

The District may ask its taxpayers to approve a local share in excess of the school construction formula to finance additional options. For example, if a construction project included expanding a particular school building and the District wished to continue the same flooring throughout the entire building, taxpayers may be asked to fund the costs in excess of the State formula. This particular request must be clearly labeled on the referendum voting ballot using the language set forth in 14 Del C. §2004.

¹ DOE's School Construction Technical Assistance Manual, Section 1.10, *Summary of Steps in the Major Capital Improvement Process*

² 14 Del. C. §2122

³ 14 Del. C. c. 26

⁴ 14 Del. C. §1074 (b)

⁵ Other rates that are not subject to voter approval (e.g., tuition, minor capital improvements) may be increased by the District to meet annual demands, thus resulting in the effective tax rate for the District.

The DOE presents its budget of all the State's Districts' construction projects to the Office of Management and Budget for approval and inclusion in the Governor's Recommended Budget.⁶ The Governor's Recommended Budget is then subject to final approval by the Bond Bill Committee and the Legislature via the Bond and Capital Improvements Act (also referred to as the Bond Bill). If a District fails to obtain a successful referendum before June 30, its project is removed from the Governor's Recommended Budget and not included in that year's Bond Bill.

The District's Board then has the "power to employ engineers, architects and such other employees as it deems essential..." for its construction project per 29 Del. C. §7521. All final plans and specifications, including costs of construction under any school construction bond authorization act, are approved by the DOE.⁷

Depending on various factors (e.g., the total cost of the project, other projects within the State), the District will receive funding for its construction project over the course of several fiscal years. For each State bond sale, the District receives an aggregate allocation of funds and must pay back a portion of those funds with local tax receipts. The local share for each District in the State can vary between 20% and 40%⁸, depending on an assessment of the District's ability to meet its obligations.⁹

The District coordinates with the Office of the State Treasurer to pay the local share of its outstanding principal and interest payments in accordance with 14 Del. C. §2108. Annually, the Office of Auditor of Accounts audits the Districts' local tax collections and debt service management in a separate engagement. Further, this engagement examines Bond Bill construction project expenditures.

In the event the construction project comes in under budget, the District may request permission from the State legislature to redirect the State-funded portion of the excess budget amount to fund another project. The subsequent epilogue language in the Bond Bill dictates if the District must put forth local tax receipts and what sources of funding the District may use, such as Minor Capital Improvement funds. Since this is a result of Delaware law, the change does not require a referendum.

There are no legal or statutory provisions that would prohibit a District from utilizing excess funds for early repayment of its debt.

Maintenance of Records

The District should maintain all appropriate documentation related to its construction projects and related debt, including documents related to the referendum process, for audit purposes.

⁶ Same as footnote 1

⁷ 29 Del. C. §7518

⁸ 29 Del. C. §7503 (b)

⁹ An Equalization Committee, as defined by 14 Del. C. §1707 (i), is comprised of 10 to 15 members appointed by the Secretary of the DOE. The equalization formula (detailed in 14 Del. C. §1707) incorporates an annual survey conducted by the University of Delaware and is annually reviewed and approved by the Equalization Committee.

Table of Contents

Independent Accountants' Report	1
Schedule of Construction Projects	3
Schedule of Current Year Findings	4

Abbreviations:

OAOA	Office of Auditor of Accounts
FSF	First State Financials
BAM	<i>State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual</i>
SCM	<i>State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual</i>

The mission of the Delaware Office of Auditor of Accounts

The Delaware Auditor of Accounts serves Delawareans by ensuring accountability in the use of taxpayer dollars to identify fraud, waste and abuse through independent assessments, including the various audits, special reports and investigations of financial operations, performance management and statutory compliance of state government and to evaluate economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

For further information on this release please contact:

Kathleen K. McGuiness, RPh, CFE
kathleen.mcguiness@delaware.gov



State of Delaware
Office of Auditor of Accounts
Kathleen K. McGuinness, RPh, CFE
State Auditor

Independent Accountants' Report

Dorrell Green
Superintendent
Red Clay Consolidated School District
1502 Spruce Avenue
Wilmington, Delaware 19805

The Honorable Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D.
Secretary, Department of Education
Townsend Building, Suite 2
401 Federal Street
Dover, Delaware 19901

We have examined the accompanying State of Delaware Red Clay Consolidated School District (the District) *Schedule of Construction Projects* for the year ended June 30, 2019 to determine whether it is in accordance with the criteria set forth in the *Delaware Code*, the *State of Delaware Department of Education School Construction Technical Assistance Manual* (SCM), and the *State of Delaware Budget and Accounting Policy Manual* (BAM). The District's management is responsible for the presentation of the *Schedule of Construction Projects* in accordance with the criteria above. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the *Schedule of Construction Projects* based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the *Schedule of Construction Projects* is in accordance with the criteria listed above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the *Schedule of Construction Projects*. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the *Schedule of Construction Projects*, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the *Schedule of Construction Projects* referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the construction projects for the District for the year ended June 30, 2019, based on the criteria set forth in the *Delaware Code*, the SCM, and the BAM.

401 FEDERAL STREET ● TOWNSEND BUILDING ● 3rd Floor ● DOVER, DE 19901

Main Office: 302-739-4241

The amounts reported in the Expended in Prior Years column of the *Schedule of Construction Projects* contain expenditures incurred prior to July 1, 2017 that were examined by other auditors. The fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 report dated November 14, 2017, expressed an unmodified opinion on the *Schedule of Construction Projects*. As a result, we did not examine the amounts reported in the Expended in Prior Years column of the *Schedule of Construction Projects* for the year ended June 30, 2019 and, therefore, express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that could have a material effect on the *Schedule of Construction Projects*; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the subject matter. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the *Schedule of Construction Projects* is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control applicable to preparing the *Schedule of Construction Projects* or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our examination disclosed one instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, described in the accompanying *Schedule of Current Year Findings* as Finding 2019-1.

The District's response to the findings identified in our examination is described in the accompanying *Schedule of Current Year Findings*. We did not audit the District's response, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report, as required by statute, was provided to the Office of the Governor, Office of the Controller General, Office of the Attorney General, and Office of Management and Budget. Under 29 Del. C., §10002(1), this report is public record and its distribution is not limited.



Kathleen K. McGuinness, RPh, CFE
State Auditor

Dover, Delaware
January 11, 2021

Schedule of Construction Projects
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

Project Name	FY	APPR	Source of Funding (State/Local)	Original Funding Amount	Increases (Decreases) in Funding	Total Funding to Date	Expended in Prior Years	Expended in Current Year	Total Expended to Date	Balance as of 6/30/2019
Forest Oak ES	2015	50408		\$ 1,946,700	\$ 98,149	\$ 2,044,849	\$ 2,044,849	\$ -	\$ 2,044,849	\$ -
Mote ES	2015	50416		331,800	(75,031)	256,769	256,769	-	256,769	-
Richardson Park ES	2015	50417		4,023,300	(57,766)	3,965,534	3,965,534	-	3,965,534	-
Richey ES	2015	50418		497,200	572,223	1,069,423	438,105	611,325	1,049,430	19,993
Conrad Schools of Science	2015	50422		2,954,300	712,657	3,666,957	2,817,449	595,581	3,413,030	253,927
Stanton MS	2015	50423		5,899,300	(353,129)	5,546,171	5,546,171	-	5,546,171	-
Dickinson HS	2015	50424		12,310,500	(874,602)	11,435,898	11,435,898	-	11,435,898	-
Baltz ES	2016	50451		2,361,700	2,287,631	4,649,331	4,575,740	51,994	4,627,734	21,597
Heritage ES	2016	50452		2,469,000	(406,737)	2,062,263	2,062,263	-	2,062,263	-
Highlands ES	2016	50453		1,318,000	1,085,888	2,403,888	2,399,136	4,661	2,403,797	91
Wm C Lewis ES	2016	50454		660,000	40,371	700,371	700,371	-	700,371	-
HB DuPont MS	2016	50455		3,889,100	(66,035)	3,823,065	3,823,065	-	3,823,065	-
Skylines MS	2016	50456		2,534,200	401,425	2,935,625	2,935,625	-	2,935,625	-
Thomas McKean HS	2016	50457		2,238,600	(415,039)	1,823,561	1,823,561	-	1,823,561	-
Meadowood	2016	50458		1,086,400	135,482	1,221,882	1,221,882	-	1,221,882	-
Central	2016	50459		2,865,500	211,124	3,076,624	1,063,170	1,825,802	2,888,972	187,652
Construction Projects Total				\$ 47,385,600	\$ 3,296,612	\$ 50,682,212	\$ 47,109,588	\$ 3,089,363	\$ 50,198,951	\$ 483,260

Schedule of Current Year Findings
June 30, 2019

Finding 2019-1

Criteria

The following State of Delaware School Construction Technical Assistance Manual (SCM) requirements apply to construction files for completed projects:

Table with 2 columns: Guidance Reference and Requirement. It lists three specific requirements from the SCM regarding notification of construction completion and occupancy.

Condition

The district did not notify the Department of Education, the Budget Director, the State Insurance Coverage Office, or the State Auditor of substantial completion and of approval of occupancy for its completed HB DuPont, and Lewis MS projects.

Cause

Management of the District did not provide proper oversight in the maintenance of construction project files.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with the requirements of the SCM, as described above.

Recommendation

We recommend that the District develop and implement a management review process (i.e., checklist) to ensure all required documentation is maintained in construction project files to ensure compliance with the provisions of the SCM.

District Response

The district concurs we had all the appropriate letters for approval of occupancy on file at the district level, but did not forward to the appropriate Departments due to a transition in staff. Internal procedures have been modified to ensure these are included in the final paperwork processes for completion of any future project.